arXiv:1608.00324v1 [math.DG] 1 Aug 2016

LOCAL INDEX THEORY AND THE RIEMANN-ROCH-GROTHENDIECK THEOREM FOR COMPLEX FLAT VECTOR BUNDLES

MAN-HO HO

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to give another proof of the real part of the Riemann–Roch–Grothendieck theorem for complex flat vector bundles at the differential form level in the even dimensional fiber case. The proof is, roughly speaking, an application of the local family index theorem for twisted spin Dirac operator and some properties of the Cheeger–Chern–Simons class. In particular, the proof does not involve any adiabatic limit computations of the reduced eta-invariant. Moreover, if the fibers admit a spin^c structure we prove the K-theoretic refinement of the above result, which states that the flat analytic index of a perturbed twisted spin^c Dirac operator is equal to the cohomology bundle as elements in the flat K-theory group.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Historical background and related work 3
1.2. Method of proof 3
Acknowledgement 5
2. Background material 5
2.1. Chern character form and Chern–Simons form 5
2.2. Flat K-theory 7
2.3. Cheeger–Chern–Simon class 8
2.4. Local index theory for twisted de Rham operator 10
2.5. The flat analytic index 14
3. Main results 15
3.1. The flat analytic index and the cohomology bundle 15
3.2. Some properties of Cheeger–Chern–Simons class 19
3.3. The real part of the Riemann–Roch–Grothendieck theorem 22
References 31

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 19K56, 58J20, 19L10, 58J28.

1. Introduction

Let $\pi : X \to B$ be a submersion with closed fibers Z. The Riemann–Roch–Grothendieck (RRG) theorem for complex flat vector bundles, given by

$$\mathrm{CCS}(H(Z, F|_Z), \nabla^{H(Z,F|_Z)})) = \int_{X/B} e(T^V X) \cup \mathrm{CCS}(F, \nabla^F), \qquad (1.0.1)$$

is an equality in $H^{\mathrm{odd}}(B; \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$, where $F \to X$ is a complex flat vector bundle with a flat connection ∇^F and $\mathrm{CCS}(F, \nabla^F)$ is its Cheeger–Chern–Simons class. Both sides of (1.0.1) are defined in terms of the mod $\mathbb{Q}$ reduction of the de Rham class of certain closed odd differential forms. In this paper we first prove the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded version of the real part of the RRG theorem at the differential form level (Theorem 2) for $\dim(Z)$ even. Then we deduce the real part of the RRG theorem for $\dim(Z)$ even. Furthermore, if Z admits a spin^c structure, we prove the K-theoretic refinement of the real part of the RRG theorem (Theorem 1), i.e.,

$$\mathrm{ind}^{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{S}^c(T^V X)^* \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}) = (H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z), g^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)}, \nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z),u}, 0) \qquad (1.0.2)$$

as $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded generators in the flat K-theory group $K^{-1}_{\mathrm{L}}(B)$ defined by Lott [64], where $\mathrm{ind}^{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{L}} : K^{-1}_{\mathrm{L}}(X) \to K^{-1}_{\mathrm{L}}(B)$ is the flat analytic index.

Bismut–Lott prove the refinement of the imaginary part of the RRG theorem at the differential form level [21, Theorem 3.23]. The real part of the RRG theorem is first proved by Bismut under the assumption that the fibers are fiberwise orientable [13, Theorem 3.2], and proved by Ma–Zhang in full generality [68, Theorem 1.1].

The proof of the real part of the RRG theorem given by Bismut makes critical use of adiabatic limit computations of the reduced η-invariant of certain Dirac operators and the following geometric index theorem [35, Theorem 9.2] by Cheeger–Simons

$$\bar{\eta}(\mathsf{D}) = \widehat{\int_M} \widehat{A}(TM, \nabla^{TM}) * \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}(E, \nabla^E) \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}, \qquad (1.0.3)$$

whose proof involves the Atiyah–Patodi–Singer index theorem [2]. The proof given by Ma–Zhang is based on the adiabatic limit computations of the reduced η-invariant of the sub-signature operator developed by Zhang [76].

The reason that we do not have to place any orientability assumption on the fibers in the real part of the RRG theorem for $\dim(Z)$ even is due to the absence of (1.0.3) and the Atiyah–Patodi–Singer theorem in the proof. This partially answers a question by Bismut [13, Remark 3.10].

However, we are unable to prove the real part of the RRG theorem for $\dim(Z)$ odd at this moment. It is well known that most of the local family index type theorems in the odd dimensional fiber case are proved by applying a trick due to Bismut–Freed (see the proof of [16, Theorem 2.10] and also [50, §9]) and the corresponding local family index theorem (local FIT) in the even dimensional fiber case. However we find that the techniques used

in the present paper do not work well with the trick due to the nature of the odd dimensional fiber case of the RRG theorem. It is an interesting question of proving the RRG theorem for $\dim(Z)$ odd without adiabatic limit computation.

1.1. **Historical background and related work.** In this subsection we briefly review the historical background of the RRG theorem. For a detailed exposition, see [12, 51].

The subject began with the study of two invariants: the topologically defined Reidemeister torsion [73, 49] and the analytically defined Ray–Singer torsion [71, 72]. These two torsions assign to each acyclic flat Hermitian vector bundle $F \to X$ a real number. It is conjectured that these two torsions are equal for closed Riemannian manifolds [71]. The affirmative answer is given by Cheeger [34] and Müller [69] independently, and is later extended to non-unitary flat vector bundles by Müller and Bismut–Zhang respectively [70, 23].

The RRG theorem is the characteristic class version of the Dwyer–Weiss–Williams parametrized index theorem [39] in the same way as the local FIT [11, 14, 36] is the characteristic class version of the Atiyah–Singer FIT [5]. The analytic index corresponds to the sheaf theoretic higher-derived image and the topological index corresponds to the Becker–Gottlieb–Dold transfer [8, 38]. The counterpart of the Bismut–Cheeger eta form as a transgression form in the local FIT is the Bismut–Lott analytic torsion form $\mathcal{T}$, a transgression form for the RRG theorem at the differential form level [21, Definition 3.22, Theorem 3.23]. The degree zero part of $\mathcal{T}$ is the Ray–Singer torsion of the fibers.

There are generalizations to torsion invariants and the RRG theorem. We refer to [66, 65, 75, 17, 40, 58, 15, 41, 42, 54, 24, 22, 43, 44, 28, 26, 25, 18, 45, 19, 67, 59, 56, 48, 46, 32, 20, 63, 60, 10, 47, 37, 7, 53, 52, 6, 33, 31, 77] for the details and its recent developments in related areas.

1.2. **Method of proof.** In this subsection we outline the method of proof of the RRG theorem given in this paper.

The results in this paper are motivated by a fundamental but crucial observation [68, (2.44)], which states that for a complex flat vector bundle $F \to X$ with a Hermitian metric g^F and a flat connection ∇^F (which is not assumed to be compatible with g^F) the real part of the Cheeger–Chern–Simons class $\mathrm{CCS}(F, \nabla^F)$ is given by

$$\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(F, \nabla^F)) = \left[\frac{1}{k}\,\mathrm{CS}(k\nabla^{F,u}, \nabla_0^{kF})\right] \mod \mathbb{Q} \in H^{\mathrm{odd}}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}), \tag{1.2.1}$$

where $\nabla^{F,u}$ is a unitary connection on $F \to X$ constructed out of ∇^F. The details will be given in section 2.3. By considering $\mathcal{F} := (F, g^F, \nabla^{F,u}, 0)$ as a generator of flat K-group $K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(X)$, (1.2.1) can be written as

$$\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(F, \nabla^F)) = \mathrm{ch}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}), \tag{1.2.2}$$

where $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}} : K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(X) \to H^{\mathrm{odd}}(X;\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})$ is the flat Chern character [64, Definition 9]. From (1.2.2) one would suspect that whether the Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch theorem (flat GRR) in flat K-theory [64, Corollary 4] is related to the RRG theorem. The answer is partially given by [68, Theorem 1.2].

In [57, Theorem 1] we give a proof of the flat GRR. The idea of the proof is that for a given submersion $\pi : X \to B$ with closed oriented spin^c fibers of even relative dimension, consider the associated submersion $\widetilde{\pi} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{B}$, where $I = [0,1]$, $\widetilde{X} = X \times I$ (similarly for $\widetilde{B}$) and $\widetilde{\pi} := \pi \times \mathrm{id}$. We prove the flat GRR by, roughly speaking, integrating the local FIT for the twisted spin^c Dirac operator for $\widetilde{\pi} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{B}$ along the fibers of the trivial fibration $\widetilde{B} \to B$. Thus (1.2.2), [68, Theorem 1.2] and the proof of [57, Theorem 1] seem to suggest that the RRG theorem can also be proved in a similar way.

However, the strategy in [57] cannot be directly applied to prove the RRG theorem. The main subtlety is that the local FIT for the twisted de Rham operator [13, §3(c)] for $\widetilde{\pi} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{B}$, which states that

$$d\widetilde{\eta}^{\mathrm{dR}} = \int_{\widetilde{X}/\widetilde{B}} e(\nabla^{T^V\widetilde{X}}) \wedge \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u}) - \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{H(\mathcal{Z},\mathscr{F}|_{\mathcal{Z}}),u}), \tag{1.2.3}$$

where $\mathscr{F} \to \widetilde{X}$ is a complex flat vector bundle and $\widetilde{\eta}^{\mathrm{dR}}$ is the associated Bismut–Cheeger eta form. Since $\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u}) = \mathrm{rank}(\mathscr{F})$ and $\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{H(\mathcal{Z},\mathscr{F}|_{\mathcal{Z}}),u}) = \mathrm{rank}(H(\mathcal{Z},\mathscr{F}|_{\mathcal{Z}})) = \mathrm{rank}(\mathscr{F})\chi(\mathcal{Z})$, the right-hand side of (1.2.3) is zero. Note also that $\widetilde{\eta}^{\mathrm{dR}} = 0$ by [13, Theorem 3.7]. Therefore integrating (1.2.3) along the fibers of $\widetilde{B} \to B$ does not give the real part of (1.0.1).

The idea of overcoming the difficulty is, roughly speaking, to consider the local FIT for a perturbed spin Dirac operator twisted by the Hermitian bundle $S(T^V\widetilde{X})^* \otimes \mathscr{F} \to \widetilde{X}$ for $\widetilde{\pi} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{B}$, where $\mathscr{F} \to \widetilde{X}$ is equipped with a unitary connection $\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u}$ satisfying a specified property. Then we integrate the local FIT so obtained to get the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded version of the real part of the RRG theorem for $\dim(Z)$ even. By applying some properties of the Cheeger–Chern–Simons class established in this paper and taking appropriate complex flat vector bundles in the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded case we obtain [68, (3.98)]. By arguing as in [68, p.614], which makes use of a result by Bismut [13, Theorem 3.12], we obtain the real part of the RRG theorem for $\dim(Z)$ even.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we review the background material, including some aspects of Chern character form and the Chern–Simons form, certain characteristic classes of complex flat vector bundles, the setup and the statement of the local FIT for twisted de Rham operator, and the analytic index in flat K-theory. In section 3 we prove the main results in this paper. In section 3.1 we first prove the K-theoretic refinement of the real part of the RRG theorem for $\dim(Z)$ even. In section 3.2 we establish some basic properties of the Cheeger–Chern–Simons class that will be useful in section 3.3. In section 3.3 we prove a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded version of the real part of

the RRG theorem in the even dimensional fiber case, and then deduce the RRG theorem.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Steve Rosenberg for many helpful advice and his constant encouragement. We would also like to thank Sebastian Goette for generously answering many (awkward) questions in MathOverflow and sending a copy of [19] for educational purpose.

2. Background material

In this paper X and B are closed manifolds and I is the closed interval $[0,1]$. Given a manifold X, write $\widetilde{X} = X \times I$. Given $t \in [0,1]$, define a map $i_{X,t} : X \to \widetilde{X}$ by $i_{X,t}(x) = (x,t)$. Denote by $p_X : \widetilde{X} \to X$ the standard projection maps. Henceforth $\pi : X \to B$ denotes a submersion with closed fibers Z of dimension n.

2.1. **Chern character form and Chern–Simons form.** In this subsection we recall the definitions of the Chern character form, the Chern–Simons form and fix some convention.

Let $E \to X$ be a complex vector bundle with a connection ∇^E. The Chern character form of ∇^E is defined by

$$\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^E) = \mathrm{tr}(e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\nabla^E)^2}) \in \Omega^{\mathrm{even}}_{d=0}(X;\mathbb{C}),$$

where $\Omega^{\mathrm{even}}_{d=0}(X;\mathbb{C})$ is the set of all closed complex-valued forms on X of even degree.

There is a "canonical" transgression form $\mathrm{CS}(\nabla^E_1, \nabla^E_0) \in \dfrac{\Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}(X;\mathbb{C})}{\mathrm{Im}(d)}$ between the Chern character forms of two connections in the sense that

$$d\,\mathrm{CS}(\nabla^E_1, \nabla^E_0) = \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^E_1) - \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^E_0). \tag{2.1.1}$$

One of the definitions of $\mathrm{CS}(\nabla^E_1, \nabla^E_0)$ is given as follows. In the following $k \in \{0,1\}$ is fixed. Note that $p_X \circ i_{X,k} = \mathrm{id}_X$ and $i_{X,k} \circ p_X \sim \mathrm{id}_{\widetilde{X}}$. Let $\mathscr{E} \to \widetilde{X}$ be a complex vector bundle with a connection $\nabla^{\mathscr{E}}$. Note that $\mathscr{E} \cong p_X^*(i_{X,k}^*\mathscr{E})$. Then

$$E_0 := i_{X,0}^*\mathscr{E} \cong i_{X,0}^* p_X^*(i_{X,0}^*\mathscr{E}) \cong i_{X,1}^* p_X^*(i_{X,0}^*\mathscr{E}) \cong i_{X,1}^*\mathscr{E} =: E_1. \tag{2.1.2}$$

Write $E = E_0 \cong E_1$. Define a connection ∇^E_k on $E_k \to X$ by

$$\nabla^E_k := i_{X,k}^* \nabla^{\mathscr{E}}.$$

The Chern–Simons form $\mathrm{CS}(\nabla^E_1, \nabla^E_0)$ is defined to be

$$\mathrm{CS}(\nabla^E_1, \nabla^E_0) = \int_{\widetilde{X}/X} \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\mathscr{E}}) \mod \mathrm{Im}(d), \tag{2.1.3}$$

where $\widetilde{X}/X$ denotes the fiber of the fiber bundle $\widetilde{X} \to X$, and $\int_{\widetilde{X}/X}$ denotes the integration along the fiber.

If $E \to X$ is equipped with a Hermitian metric g^E and ∇^E is unitary with respect to g^E, then $\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^E) \in \Omega^{\mathrm{even}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$, where $\Omega^{\mathrm{even}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ is the set of all real-valued closed forms of even degree with periods in $\mathbb{Q}$. The definition of the Chern–Simons form in this case is similar. Let $g^{\mathscr{E}}$ be a Hermitian metric on $\mathscr{E} \to X$. Define $g^{E_k} = i_{X,k}^* g^{\mathscr{E}}$. By [61, Corollary 8.9, Chapter 1] there exists $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(E)$ such that $g^{E_0} = f^* g^{E_1}$. The connection ∇^E_k is unitary with respect to g^{E_k}, and therefore $f^*\nabla^E_1$ is unitary with respect to g^{E_0}. Thus we may assume $g^{E_0} = g^{E_1}$, and therefore ∇^E_1 is unitary with respect to g^{E_1}. Note that in this case we have $\mathrm{CS}(\nabla^E_1, \nabla^E_0) \in \dfrac{\Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}(X;\mathbb{R})}{\mathrm{Im}(d)}$.

To prove that the Chern–Simons form defined by (2.1.3) satisfies (2.1.1), we need to invoke the Stokes' theorem for integration along the fibers [55, Problem 4 (p.311)]. In general, for a smooth fiber bundle $\pi : M \to B$ with compact fibers of dimension n, where M is a manifold with boundary, satisfying certain orientability assumptions, we have

$$(-1)^{k-n} \int_{\partial M/B} i^*\omega = \int_{M/B} d_M \omega - d_B \int_{M/B} \omega \tag{2.1.4}$$

where $i : \partial M \to M$ is the inclusion map and $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$. By applying (2.1.4) to the fiber bundle $\widetilde{X} \to X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\,\mathrm{CS}(\nabla^E_1, \nabla^E_0) &= d \int_{\widetilde{X}/X} \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\mathscr{E}}) = \int_{\widetilde{X}/X} d\,\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\mathscr{E}}) + \int_{\partial \widetilde{X}/X} i^* \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\mathscr{E}}) \\ &= \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^E_1) - \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^E_0). \end{aligned}$$

Given two connections ∇^E_1 and ∇^E_0 on $E \to X$ one can take $\mathscr{E} = p_X^* E$ and

$$\nabla^{p_X^* E} := \nabla^E_t + dt \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \tag{2.1.5}$$

where ∇^E_t is a smooth curve of connections joining ∇^E_0 and ∇^E_1. Note that $\mathrm{CS}(\nabla^E_1, \nabla^E_0)$ is independent of the choice of ∇^E_t [74, Proposition 1.1].

It follows from (2.1.3) that the Chern–Simons form satisfies the following properties:

$$\mathrm{CS}(\nabla^E_1, \nabla^E_0) = -\mathrm{CS}(\nabla^E_0, \nabla^E_1), \tag{2.1.6}$$

$$\mathrm{CS}(\nabla^E_1, \nabla^E_0) = \mathrm{CS}(\nabla^E_1, \nabla^E_2) + \mathrm{CS}(\nabla^E_2, \nabla^E_0), \tag{2.1.7}$$

$$\mathrm{CS}(\nabla^E_1 \oplus \nabla^F_1, \nabla^E_0 \oplus \nabla^F_0) = \mathrm{CS}(\nabla^E_1, \nabla^E_0) + \mathrm{CS}(\nabla^F_1, \nabla^F_0). \tag{2.1.8}$$

We will need some facts about integration along the fibers. Let $p : M \to X$ be a smooth fiber bundle with compact fibers. By [27, Chapter 1], we have

$$\int_{M/X} p^*\alpha \wedge \beta = \alpha \wedge \left(\int_{M/X} \beta \right), \tag{2.1.9}$$

for all $\alpha \in \Omega(X)$ and $\beta \in \Omega(M)$.

If $q : X \to B$ is another smooth fiber bundle with compact fibers, then $q \circ p : M \to B$ is a smooth fiber bundle with compact fibers. It is straightforward to check (or see [55, Problem 3 (p.311)]) that

$$\int_{M/B} = \int_{X/B} \circ \int_{M/X}. \tag{2.1.10}$$

2.2. **Flat K-theory.** In this subsection we recall the definitions of flat K-theory and the flat Chern character [64].

The flat K-group $K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(X)$ is an abelian group given by generators and relations: a generator is of the form $\mathcal{E} = (E, h^E, \nabla^E, \omega)$, where $\omega \in \dfrac{\Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}(X)}{\mathrm{Im}(d)}$ satisfies $\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^E) - \mathrm{rank}(E) = -d\omega$. [1] The only relation is $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}_0$ if and only if there exists $\mathcal{G} = (G, h^G, \nabla^G, \omega_G)$ such that $E_1 \oplus G \cong E_0 \oplus G$ and

$$\omega_1 - \omega_0 = \mathrm{CS}(\nabla_0^E \oplus \nabla^G, \nabla_1^E \oplus \nabla^G).$$

Elements in $K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(X)$ are required to have virtual rank zero.

A $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded generator $\mathcal{E}$ of $K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(X)$ is of the form

$$\mathcal{E} = (E^+ \oplus E^-, g^+ \oplus g^-, \nabla^+ \oplus \nabla^-, \omega) \tag{2.2.1}$$

with $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded objects and $\omega \in \dfrac{\Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}(X)}{\mathrm{Im}(d)}$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^+ \oplus \nabla^-) = \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^+) - \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^-) = -d\omega.$$

Every element in $K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(X)$ can be written as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded generator and vice versa [64, p.286].

$K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(X)$ is an $K^0(X)$-module whose module structure is given as follows. Let $F \to X$ be a complex vector bundle. Put a Hermitian metric g^F and a unitary connection ∇^F on $F \to X$ and write $\mathbb{F} = (F, g^F, \nabla^F)$. For a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded generator $\mathcal{E}$ of the form (2.2.1), define

$$\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathcal{E} := (F \otimes (E^+ \oplus E^-), g^F \otimes (h^+ \oplus h^-), \nabla^F \otimes (\nabla^+ \oplus \nabla^-), \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^F) \wedge \omega). \tag{2.2.2}$$

The product defined by (2.2.2) extends to $K^0(X) \times K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(X) \to K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(X)$. In particular, if $\mathbb{F} \to X$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded complex vector bundle representing $[F^+] - [F^-] \in K^0(X)$ and $\mathcal{E}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded generator of $K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(X)$ of the form (2.2.1), then there is an obvious analog of (2.2.2) for $\mathbb{F} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}$.

There is a unique Chern character $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}} : K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(X) \to H^{\mathrm{odd}}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})$ [64, Definition 9], called the flat Chern character, defined as follows. For a generator $\mathcal{E} = (E, h^E, \nabla^E, \omega)$ of $K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(X)$, write $N = \mathrm{rank}(E)$. The condition $\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^E) - N = -d\omega$ implies that $\mathrm{ch}(E - \mathbb{C}^N) = 0 \in H^{\mathrm{even}}(X; \mathbb{Q})$, where $\mathbb{C}^N \to X$ denotes the trivial complex bundle of rank N. Thus there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $kE \cong k\mathbb{C}^N$. Let ∇_0^{kE} be a trivial connection on $kE \to X$, which can be determined by choosing a global frame for $kE \to X$. One

[1] This differs from [64, Definition 5] by a sign.

can check that the odd form $\frac{1}{k}\operatorname{CS}(k\nabla, \nabla_0^{kE}) + \omega$ is closed. The flat Chern character $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{E})$ is defined to be

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{E}) = \left[\frac{1}{k}\operatorname{CS}(k\nabla^E, \nabla_0^{kE}) + \omega\right] \mod \mathbb{Q}. \tag{2.2.3}$$

Note that $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{E})$ is independent of the choices of k and ∇_0^{kE} [64, Lemma 1] and is a well defined group homomorphism [64, Proposition 1].

The flat Chern character of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded generator $\mathcal{E}$ of the form (2.2.1) is defined as follows. The condition $\operatorname{ch}(\nabla^+) - \operatorname{ch}(\nabla^-) = -d\omega$ implies the existence of $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $kE^+ \cong kE^-$. Choose an isometric isomorphism $j : kE^+ \to kE^-$. Then $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{E})$ is defined to be

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{E}) = \left[\frac{1}{k}\operatorname{CS}(k\nabla^+, j^*k\nabla^-) + \omega\right] \mod \mathbb{Q}. \tag{2.2.4}$$

Note that $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{E})$ is independent of the choices of k and j [64, p.289].

2.3. **Cheeger–Chern–Simon class.** In this subsection we review the definition of the Cheeger–Chern–Simons class of complex flat vector bundles. We refer to [21, 13, 68] for the details.

Let $F \to X$ be a complex flat vector bundle with a flat connection ∇^F. The Cheeger–Chern–Simons class $\operatorname{CCS}(F, \nabla^F) \in H^{\text{odd}}(X; \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$ of (F, ∇^F) [21, §1(g)] (see also [68, Definition 2.11]) is defined in a similar way as the flat Chern character:

$$\operatorname{CCS}(F, \nabla^F) := \left[\frac{1}{k}\operatorname{CS}(k\nabla^F, \nabla_0^{kF})\right] \mod \mathbb{Q}. \tag{2.3.1}$$

Note that $\operatorname{CCS}(F, \nabla^F)$ is independent of the choices of k and ∇_0^{kF} by [64, Lemma 1].

Put a Hermitian metric g^F on $F \to X$. As in [23, Definition 4.1] define

$$\omega(F, g^F) := (g^F)^{-1}(\nabla^F g^F) \in \Omega^1(X, \operatorname{End}(F)). \tag{2.3.2}$$

By [23, Definition 4.2, Proposition 4.3], the connection $\nabla^{F,u}$ on $F \to X$ defined by

$$\nabla^{F,u} = \nabla^F + \frac{1}{2}\omega(F, g^F) \tag{2.3.3}$$

is unitary with respect to g^F and has curvature

$$(\nabla^{F,u})^2 = -\frac{1}{4}\omega(F, g^F)^2. \tag{2.3.4}$$

By [13, (2.33), (2.37)], $\operatorname{tr}(\omega(F, g^F)^{2k}) = 0$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and therefore

$$\operatorname{ch}(\nabla^{F,u}) = \operatorname{rank}(F). \tag{2.3.5}$$

By [68, (2.44)] the real part of $\operatorname{CCS}(F, \nabla^F)$ is given by

$$\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{CCS}(F, \nabla^F)) = \left[\frac{1}{k}\operatorname{CS}(k\nabla^{F,u}, \nabla_0^{kF})\right] \mod \mathbb{Q} \in H^{\text{odd}}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}). \tag{2.3.6}$$

Let (E, v) be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded cochain complex of complex vector bundles over X; i.e.,

$$0 \longrightarrow E^0 \xrightarrow{v} E^1 \xrightarrow{v} \cdots \xrightarrow{v} E^m \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.3.7}$$

with $v \circ v = 0$. Let ∇^k be a connection on $E^k \to X$ for each $0 \leq k \leq m$. Define

$$E = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{m} E_k \qquad \text{and} \qquad \nabla^E = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{m} \nabla^k.$$

Note that ∇^E is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded connection on $E \to X$. The triple (E, v, ∇^E) is called a flat cochain complex if ∇^E is a flat connection on $E \to X$ and $[\nabla^E, v] = 0$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} E^+ &= \bigoplus_{k=0} E^{2k}, \qquad & E^- &= \bigoplus_{k=0} E^{2k+1}, \\ \nabla^+ &= \bigoplus_{k=0} \nabla^{2k}, \qquad & \nabla^- &= \bigoplus_{k=0} \nabla^{2k+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.8}$$

Then $E \to X$, where $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$, is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded complex vector bundle with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded connection $\nabla^E = \nabla^+ \oplus \nabla^-$.

For any $\mathbb{Z}$-graded cochain complex (E, v) with two $\mathbb{Z}$-graded connections ∇_1^E and ∇_0^E define

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ch}(E, \nabla_j^E) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \operatorname{ch}(E^k, \nabla_j^k), \\ \operatorname{CS}(\nabla_1^E, \nabla_0^E) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \operatorname{CS}(\nabla_1^k, \nabla_0^k). \end{aligned}$$

The Cheeger–Chern–Simons class of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded flat cochain complex (E, v, ∇^E) is defined to be

$$\operatorname{CCS}(E, \nabla^E) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \operatorname{CCS}(E^k, \nabla^k) \in H^{\text{odd}}(X; \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}). \tag{2.3.9}$$

Let $F \to X$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded complex flat vector bundle with $\operatorname{rank}(F^+) = \operatorname{rank}(F^-)$ with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded flat connection $\nabla^F = \nabla^+ \oplus \nabla^-$. The Cheeger–Chern–Simons class of (F, ∇^F) is given by

$$\operatorname{CCS}(F, \nabla^F) = \left[\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{CS}(k\nabla^+, j^* k\nabla^-) \right] \mod \mathbb{Q}, \tag{2.3.10}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $kF^+ \cong kF^-$ and $j : kF^+ \to kF^-$ is an isomorphism. By putting a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Hermitian metric $g^F = g^+ \oplus g^-$ on $F \to X$, we may pick an isometric isomorphism j. Define the unitary connection $\nabla^{\pm,u}$ on $F^\pm \to X$ by (2.3.3). By (2.1.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{CS}(k\nabla^+, j^* k\nabla^-) = \operatorname{CS}(k\nabla^+, k\nabla^{+,u}) + \operatorname{CS}(k\nabla^{+,u}, j^* k\nabla^{-,u}) \\ + \operatorname{CS}(j^* k\nabla^{-,u}, j^* k\nabla^-). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(F,\nabla^F)) = \left[\frac{1}{k}\,\mathrm{CS}(k\nabla^{+,u}, j^*k\nabla^{-,u})\right] \mod \mathbb{Q}. \tag{2.3.11}$$

By the argument in [64, p.289] we see that $\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(F,\nabla^F))$ is independent of the choices of k and j.

Remark 1. Let $F \to X$ be a complex vector bundle with a flat connection ∇^F. By the definition of the Cheeger–Chern–Simons class one sees that it roughly measures the deviation of ∇^F (or more precisely its k-fold direct sum) from being a trivial connection. If ∇^F (or $k\nabla^F$) is indeed a trivial connection, then $k\nabla^F$ differs from ∇_0^{kF} by a gauge transformation specified by a map $g : X \to \mathrm{U}(k\,\mathrm{rank}(F))$, so $\mathrm{CS}(k\nabla^F, \nabla_0^{kF}) = \mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g) \in \Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$. Since $\mathrm{CCS}(F,\nabla^F)$ is the mod $\mathbb{Q}$ reduction of the de Rham class of a rational multiple of $\mathrm{CS}(k\nabla^F, \nabla_0^{kF})$, it follows that $\mathrm{CCS}(F,\nabla^F) = 0 \in H^{\mathrm{odd}}(X;\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$. The same is true if $F \to X$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded complex flat vector bundle with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded flat connection.

Note that Remark 1 applies to $\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(F,\nabla^F))$ as well.

2.4. **Local index theory for twisted de Rham operator.** In this subsection we recall the setup and the statement of the local FIT for twisted de Rham operator [13, §3] (see also [21, 68]).

Denote by $T^VX \to X$ the vertical tangent bundle. Let $T^HX \to X$ be a horizontal distribution for $\pi : X \to B$; i.e., $TX = T^VX \oplus T^HX$. Denote by $P^{T^VX} : TX \to T^VX$ the projection. Put a metric g^{T^VX} on $T^VX \to X$. Given a Riemannian metric g^{TB} on $TB \to B$, define a metric on $TX \to X$ by

$$g^{TX} := g^{T^VX} \oplus \pi^* g^{TB}. \tag{2.4.1}$$

If ∇^{TX} is the corresponding Levi–Civita connection, then $\nabla^{T^VX} := P^{T^VX} \circ \nabla^{TX}$ is a connection on $T^VX \to X$ that is compatible with g^{T^VX}. Let $\{e_k\}$ be a local orthonormal frame for $T^VX \to X$.

The exterior bundle $\Lambda(T^VX)^* \to X$ is a Clifford module whose Clifford multiplication is given by $c(Y) = \varepsilon(Y) - i(Y)$, where $Y \in \Gamma(X, T^VX)$, ε is the exterior multiplication and i is the interior multiplication. Here $T^VX \to X$ is identified with $(T^VX)^* \to X$ via g^{T^VX}. Denote by $\nabla^{\Lambda(T^VX)^*}$ the extension of ∇^{T^VX} on $T^VX \to X$ to $\Lambda(T^VX)^* \to X$. Set

$$\widehat{c}(Y) = \varepsilon(Y) + i(Y).$$

Let $F \to X$ be a complex flat vector bundle with a flat connection ∇^F. Put a Hermitian metric g^F on $F \to X$. Define a twisted Dirac operator $\mathsf{D}^{\Lambda\otimes F} : \Gamma(B, \Lambda(T^VX)^* \otimes F) \to \Gamma(B, \Lambda(T^VX)^* \otimes F)$ by

$$\mathsf{D}^{\Lambda\otimes F} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c(e_k)\nabla^{\Lambda(T^VX)^*\otimes F,u}_{e_k}, \tag{2.4.2}$$

where $\nabla^{\Lambda(T^VX)^*\otimes F,u} := \nabla^{\Lambda(T^VX)^*}\otimes\nabla^{F,u}$. Define an infinite rank $\mathbb{Z}$-graded complex vector bundle $\pi_*^\Lambda F\to B$ whose fiber over $b\in B$ is

$$(\pi_*^\Lambda F)_b := \Gamma(Z_b,(\Lambda(T^VX)^*\otimes F)|_{Z_b}). \tag{2.4.3}$$

By [21, (3.6)] we have

$$\Omega(X,F)\cong\Omega(B,\pi_*^\Lambda F).$$

Denote by $*$ the fiberwise Hodge star operator associated to g^{T^VX}, and extend it from $\Gamma(X,\Lambda(T^VX)^*)$ to $\Gamma(X,\Lambda(T^VX)^*\otimes F)\cong\Gamma(B,\pi_*^\Lambda F)$. Define an L^2-metric on $\pi_*^\Lambda F\to B$ by

$$g^{\pi_*^\Lambda F}(s_1,s_2)(b)=\int_{Z_b} g^F(s_1(b)\wedge *s_2(b))d\,\mathrm{vol}(Z),$$

where $d\,\mathrm{vol}(Z)$ is the Riemannian volume form along the fibers Z.

Let $U\in\Gamma(B,TB)$ and denote by $U^H\in\Gamma(X,TX)$ its lift. Define a connection $\nabla^{\pi_*^\Lambda F}$ on $\pi_*^\Lambda F\to B$ [21, Definition 3.2] by

$$\nabla_U^{\pi_*^\Lambda F}s=\nabla_{U^H}^{\Lambda(T^VX)^*\otimes F}s,$$

where $s\in\Gamma(B,\pi_*^\Lambda F)$. Note that $\nabla^{\pi_*^\Lambda F}$ preserves the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $\pi_*^\Lambda F\to B$. Let $k(U)$ be given by

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{U^H}dv_Z=k(U)d\,\mathrm{vol}(Z). \tag{2.4.4}$$

Then the connection $\nabla^{\pi_*^\Lambda F,u}$ on $\pi_*^\Lambda F\to B$ defined by

$$\nabla^{\pi_*^\Lambda F,u}:=\nabla^{\pi_*^\Lambda F}+k \tag{2.4.5}$$

is unitary with respect to $g^{\pi_*^\Lambda F}$ [13, p.33].

The exterior differential $d^X:\Omega(X,F)\to\Omega(X,F)$ coupled with ∇^F can be regarded as a flat superconnection on $\pi_*^\Lambda F\to B$ of total degree 1 whose decomposition [21, Proposition 3.4] is given by

$$d^X=d^Z+\nabla^{\pi_*^\Lambda F}+i_T,$$

where i_T is given in [21, Definition 3.3].

Denote by d^Z the fiberwise de Rham operator coupled with ∇^F acting on $\pi_*^\Lambda F\to B$ and consider it as element in $\Gamma(B,\mathrm{Hom}((\pi_*^\Lambda F)^\bullet,(\pi_*^\Lambda F)^{\bullet+1}))$. For each $b\in B$,

$$0\longrightarrow(\pi_*^\Lambda F)_b^0\xrightarrow{d^{Z_b}}(\pi_*^\Lambda F)_b^1\xrightarrow{d^{Z_b}}\cdots\xrightarrow{d^{Z_b}}(\pi_*^\Lambda F)_b^n\longrightarrow 0$$

is a cochain complex. Denote by $H^k(Z_b,F|_{Z_b})$ the associated k-th cohomology group and write $H(Z_b,F|_{Z_b}):=\bigoplus_{k=0}^n H^k(Z_b,F|_{Z_b})$. Define a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded complex vector bundle $H(Z,F|_Z)\to B$ whose fiber over $b\in B$ is given by $H(Z,F|_Z)_b:=H(Z_b,F|_{Z_b})$. Denote by $\psi:\ker(d^Z)\to H(Z,F|_Z)$ the quotient map. For $s\in\Gamma(B,H^k(Z,F|_Z))$, let $e\in\Gamma(B,(\pi_*^\Lambda F)^k\cap\ker(d^Z))$

be such that $\psi(e) = s$. The connection $\nabla^{H(Z,F|_Z)}$ on $H(Z, F|_Z) \to B$ [21, Definition 2.4] defined by

$$\nabla^{H(Z,F|_Z)}_U s = \psi(\nabla^{\pi_*^\Lambda F}_U e), \tag{2.4.6}$$

where $U \in \Gamma(B, TB)$, is a well defined $\mathbb{Z}$-graded flat connection [21, Definition 2.4, Proposition 2.5].

Denote by d^{Z*} the formal adjoint of d^Z with respect to $g^{\pi_* F}$. Define

$$\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}} := d^Z + d^{Z*}.$$

By Hodge theory we have

$$H(Z_b, F|_{Z_b}) \cong \ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z_b,\mathrm{dR}}).$$

Define a vector bundle $\ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}}) \to B$ whose fiber over $b \in B$ is given by $\ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}})_b := \ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z_b,\mathrm{dR}})$ for each $b \in B$. Then $\ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}}) \to B$ is a finite-rank subbundle of $\pi_*^\Lambda F \to B$ and

$$H(Z, F|_Z) \cong \ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}}). \tag{2.4.7}$$

Note that $\ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}}) \to B$ inherits a Hermitian metric from $g^{\pi_*^\Lambda F}$, which will be denoted by $g^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}})}$. Denote by $g^{H(Z,F|_Z)}$ the Hermitian metric on $H(Z, F|_Z) \to B$ obtained by pulling back $g^{H(Z,F|_Z)}$ via the isomorphism (2.4.7). Denote by $P^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}})} : \pi_*^\Lambda F \to \ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}})$ the orthogonal projection onto $\ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}})$. Then $P^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}})}\nabla^{\pi_*^\Lambda F}$ is a connection on $\ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}}) \to B$, which can be considered as a connection on $H(Z, F|_Z) \to B$ under the isomorphism (2.4.7). By [21, Proposition 3.14] we have

$$\nabla^{H(Z,F|_Z)} = P^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}})}\nabla^{\pi_*^\Lambda F},$$
$$\omega(H(Z, F|_Z), g^{H(Z,F|_Z)}) = P^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}})}\omega(\pi_*^\Lambda F, g^{\pi_*^\Lambda F})P^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}})}.$$

As in (2.4.7), define $\nabla^{H(Z,F|_Z),u} := \nabla^{H(Z,F|_Z)} + \frac{1}{2}\omega(H(Z, F|_Z), g^{H(Z,F|_Z)})$. Consequently we have $\nabla^{H(Z,F|_Z),u} = P^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}})}\nabla^{\pi_*^\Lambda F,u}$.

By [23, Proposition 4.12] we have

$$\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}} = \mathsf{D}^{\Lambda\otimes F} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^n \widehat{c}(e_k)\omega(F, g^F)(e_k). \tag{2.4.8}$$

Write

$$V = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^n \widehat{c}(e_k)\omega(F, g^F)(e_k). \tag{2.4.9}$$

Note that V is an odd self-adjoint matrix-valued operator which anti-commutes with the $c(X)$'s.

Let $\mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{dR}}$ be the Bismut superconnection on $\pi_*^\Lambda F \to B$ [13, (3.49)] associated to $\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}}$, given by

$$\mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{dR}} := \mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}} + \nabla^{\pi_*^\Lambda F,u} - \frac{c(T)}{4}, \tag{2.4.10}$$

where T is the curvature 2-form of the fiber bundle $X \to B$. The rescaled Bismut superconnection $\mathbb{B}_t^{\mathrm{dR}}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{B}_t^{\mathrm{dR}} := \sqrt{t}\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}} + \nabla^{\pi_*^\Lambda F,u} - \frac{c(T)}{4\sqrt{t}}.$$

Remark 2.

(1) Since $\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}} = \mathsf{D}^{\Lambda\otimes F} + V$, it follows that

$$\ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}}) \cong \ker(\mathsf{D}^{\Lambda\otimes F} + V)$$

as vector bundles.

(2) One might consider the "unperturbed" Bismut superconnection $\mathbb{B}$ associated to $\mathsf{D}^{\Lambda\otimes F}$; i.e., $\mathbb{B} = \mathsf{D}^{\Lambda\otimes F} + \nabla^{\pi_*^\Lambda F,u} - \dfrac{c(T)}{4}$ instead of $\mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{dR}}$ defined by (2.4.9), whose degree zero term $\mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{dR}}_{[0]}$ is perturbed by V. The reason of considering $\mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{dR}}$ is that our target is the cohomology bundle $H(Z, F|_Z) \to B$, which is isomorphic to $\ker(\mathsf{D}^{\Lambda\otimes F}+V) \to B$. Note that the notion of superconnection has to be generalized in the sense of [9, p.286]. Moreover, the estimates [9, Theorem 9.19], the local FIT and the transgression formula [9, Lemma 9.24, Theorem 9.25, Theorem 9.26] etc, for $\mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{dR}}$ are still valid (see also the last paragraph of [13, p.33]).

The Bismut–Cheeger eta form $\widetilde{\eta}^{\mathrm{dR}}$ associated to the superconnection $\mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{dR}}$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{\eta}^{\mathrm{dR}} := \int_0^\infty \mathrm{str}\left(\frac{d\mathbb{B}_t^{\mathrm{dR}}}{dt} e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\mathbb{B}_t^{\mathrm{dR}})^2}\right) dt.$$

For $\dim(Z)$ even, the local FIT for the twisted de Rham operator [21, Theorem 3.15] (see also [13, (3.50)]) is given by

$$d\widetilde{\eta}^{\mathrm{dR}} = \int_{X/B} e(\nabla^{T^VX}) \wedge \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{F,u}) - \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{H(Z,F|_Z),u}), \tag{2.4.11}$$

where $e(\nabla^{T^VX}) \in \Omega^n_{\mathbb{Z}}(X; o(T^VX))$ is the $o(T^VX)$-valued Euler form, where $o(T^VX) \to X$ is the orientation bundle of $T^VX \to X$, a flat real line bundle which is trivial if and only if $T^VX \to X$ is oriented. Note that $e(\nabla^{T^VX}) = 0$ if n is odd.

Remark 3. *A priori* (2.4.11) holds under the assumptions that the fibers Z are oriented and spin. However, as noted in the proof of [21, Theorem 3.15], the computations involved are local, so (2.4.11) is still valid if the fibers are not spin and not even orientable.

Since

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{X/B} e(\nabla^{T^VX}) \wedge \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{F,u}) - \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{H(Z,F|_Z),u})\\ &= \mathrm{rank}(F)\chi(Z) - \mathrm{rank}(H(Z,F|_Z)) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $\chi(Z) : B \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the Euler characteristic function, it follows that $\widetilde{\eta}^{\mathrm{dR}}$ is a closed form. A stronger result [13, Theorem 3.7] (see also [21, (3.74)] states that

$$\widetilde{\eta}^{\mathrm{dR}} = 0. \tag{2.4.12}$$

2.5. **The flat analytic index.** In this subsection we recall the definition of the flat analytic index [64, Definition 13]. We assume $\dim(Z)$ is even.

Endow $T^V X \to X$ the geometric data as in section 2.4. Denote by $S^c(T^V X) \to X$ the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded spin^c bundle and by $L^V X \to X$ the associated characteristic Hermitian line bundle with a unitary connection $\nabla^{L^V X}$. Note that the connection $\nabla^{T^V X}$ lifts uniquely to the local spin bundle and preserves its grading and the isomorphism $S^c(T^V X) \cong S(T^V X) \otimes L^V X$ exists globally [62, p.397]. The connection $\nabla^{S^c(T^V X)}$ on $S^c(T^V X) \to X$, defined by

$$\nabla^{S^c(T^V X)} := \nabla^{T^V X} \otimes \nabla^{L^V X},$$

preserves the grading of $S^c(T^V X) \to X$. The Todd form $\mathrm{Todd}(\nabla^{S^c(T^V X)})$ of $S^c(T^V X) \to X$ is defined to be

$$\mathrm{Todd}(\nabla^{S^c(T^V X)}) = \widehat{A}(\nabla^{T^V X}) \wedge e^{\frac{1}{2} c_1(\nabla^{L^V X})}.$$

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded generator of $K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(X)$ of the form (2.2.1). The even and odd part of $S^c(T^V X)\widehat{\otimes} E \to X$ are given by

$$(S^c(T^V X)\widehat{\otimes} E)^{\pm} = S^c(T^V X)^+ \otimes E^{\pm} \oplus S^c(T^V X)^- \otimes E^{\mp}. \tag{2.5.1}$$

Define an infinite rank bundle $\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c} E \to B$ whose fiber over $b \in B$ is given by

$$(\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c} E)_b := \Gamma(X_b, (S^c(T^V X)\widehat{\otimes} E)_b). \tag{2.5.2}$$

Denote by $g^{\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c} E}$ the L^2-metric on $\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c} E \to B$ defined by [13, (1.11)]. Define a connection $\nabla^{\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c} E}$ on $\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c} E \to B$ by

$$\nabla_U^{\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c} E} s := \nabla_{U^H}^{S^c(T^V X)\widehat{\otimes} E} s,$$

where $s \in \Gamma(B, \pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c} E)$, $U \in \Gamma(B, TB)$, $U^H \in \Gamma(M, TM)$ is its lift and $\nabla^{S^c(T^V X)\widehat{\otimes} E} = \nabla^{S^c(T^V X)}\widehat{\otimes}\nabla^E$. Let $k(U)$ be given by (2.4.4). The connection $\nabla^{\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c} E, u}$ on $\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c} E \to B$ defined by

$$\nabla^{\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c} E, u} := \nabla^{\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c} E} + k \tag{2.5.3}$$

is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded and unitary with respect to $g^{\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c} E}$ [13, Definition 1.4].

The twisted spin^c Dirac operator $\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes} E} : \Gamma(X, S^c(T^V X)\widehat{\otimes} E) \to \Gamma(X, S^c(T^V X)\widehat{\otimes} E)$ is defined to be

$$\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes} E} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c(e_k)\nabla_{e_k}^{S^c(T^V X)\widehat{\otimes} E}, \tag{2.5.4}$$

where c is the Clifford multiplication and

$$\nabla^{S^c(T^V X)\widehat{\otimes} E} := \nabla^{S^c(T^V X)}\widehat{\otimes}\nabla^E.$$

The Bismut superconnection $\mathbb{B}^E$ on $\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c}E \to B$ is defined to be

$$\mathbb{B}^E = \mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}E} + \nabla^{\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c}E} - \frac{c(T)}{4}, \tag{2.5.5}$$

where T is the same as in (2.4.9). The rescaled Bismut superconnection $\mathbb{B}_t^E$ is given by

$$\mathbb{B}_t^E = \sqrt{t}\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}E} + \nabla^{\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c}E} - \frac{c(T)}{4\sqrt{t}}.$$

The Bismut–Cheeger eta form associated to $\mathbb{B}^E$ is defined to be

$$\widetilde{\eta}^E := \int_0^\infty \mathrm{str}\left(\frac{d\mathbb{B}_t^E}{dt}e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\mathbb{B}_t^E)^2}\right)dt. \tag{2.5.6}$$

Assume that $\ker(\mathsf{D}_b^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}E})$ has locally constant dimension for $b \in B$. Then the family of complex vector spaces $\ker(\mathsf{D}_b^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}E})$ form a finite rank $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded (whose grading is defined by the chirality operator) complex vector bundle over B, denoted by $\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}E}) \to B$. The even and odd part are given by

$$\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}E})^\pm = \ker(\mathsf{D}^{(S^c\widehat{\otimes}E)^+})^\pm \oplus \ker(\mathsf{D}^{(S^c\widehat{\otimes}E)^-})^\mp.$$

Denote by $P^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}E})} : \pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c}E \to \ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}E})$ the orthogonal projection. Then $g^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}E})} := P^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}E})}g^{\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c}E}$ is a Hermitian metric on $\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}E}) \to B$. Moreover, $\nabla^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}E})} := P^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}E})}\nabla^{\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c}E,u}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded unitary connection on $\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}E}) \to B$.

The flat analytic index $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathcal{E})$ [64, Definition 13] of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded generator $\mathcal{E}$ of the form (2.2.1) is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded generator of $K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(B)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathcal{E})\\ &= \left(\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}E}), g^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}E})}, \nabla^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}E})}, \int_{X/B}\mathrm{Todd}(\nabla^{S^c(T^VX)})\wedge\omega + \widetilde{\eta}^E\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.5.7}$$

It follows from the local FIT for twisted spin^c Dirac operator that $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathcal{E}) \in K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(B)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded generator.

3. Main results

In this section we prove the main results of this paper. Throughout this section we assume $\dim(Z)$ is even.

3.1. **The flat analytic index and the cohomology bundle.** In this subsection we assume the fibers of $\pi : X \to B$ are closed, oriented and spin^c. Given a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded complex flat vector bundle $\mathbb{F} \to X$, where $\mathrm{rank}(\mathbb{F}^+) = \mathrm{rank}(\mathbb{F}^-)$, with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded flat connection $\nabla^{\mathbb{F}} = \nabla^+ \oplus \nabla^-$, put a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Hermitian metric $g^{\mathbb{F}}$. Define $\nabla^{\pm,u}$ by (2.3.3) and put $\nabla^{\mathbb{F},u} = \nabla^{+,u} \oplus \nabla^{-,u}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F} := (\mathbb{F}, g^{\mathbb{F}}, \nabla^{\mathbb{F},u}, 0) \tag{3.1.1}$$

defines a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded generator of $K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(X)$.

Denote by $S^c(T^VX)^* \to X$ the dual of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded spin bundle of $T^VX \to X$. Write

$$\mathcal{S}^c(T^VX)^* = (S^c(T^VX)^*, g^{S^c(T^VX)^*}, \nabla^{S^c(T^VX)^*})$$

and consider the product $\mathcal{S}^c(T^VX)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{F} \in K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(X)$ defined by the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded version of (2.2.2). In this subsection we prove that the flat analytic index

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathcal{S}^c(T^VX)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}) \tag{3.1.2}$$

of $\mathcal{S}^c(T^VX)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}$ is equal to the cohomology bundle

$$\mathcal{H}(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z) := (H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z), g^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)}, \nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z),u}, 0) \tag{3.1.3}$$

as $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded generators of $K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(B)$. Note that we allow the spin^c Dirac operator to be perturbed by a smoothing operator in the definition of the flat analytic index. This is the K-theoretic refinement of the real part of the RRG theorem for $\dim(Z)$ even that will be proved in section 3.3.

First of all we show that (3.1.2) and (3.1.3) define $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded generators of $K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(B)$. For (3.1.2), note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathcal{S}^c(T^VX)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{F})\\ &= (\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}} + V), g^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}}+V)}, \nabla^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}}+V)}, \widetilde{\eta}^{(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F},V}).\end{aligned}$$

By (2) of Remark 2 that the local FIT for $\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}} + V$ still holds, and is given by

$$\begin{aligned}d\widetilde{\eta}^{(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F},V} &= \int_{X/B} \mathrm{Todd}(\nabla^{S^c(T^VX)}) \wedge \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{S^c(T^VX)^*} \otimes \nabla^{\mathbb{F},u})\\ &\quad - \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}}+V)})\\ &= -\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}}+V)}),\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from $\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\mathbb{F},u}) = \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{+,u}) - \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{-,u}) = \mathrm{rank}(\mathbb{F}^+) - \mathrm{rank}(\mathbb{F}^-) = 0$. Thus (3.1.2) defines a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded generator of $K_{\mathrm{L}}^{-1}(B)$.

For (3.1.3), first note that $\mathrm{rank}(H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z)^+) = \mathrm{rank}(H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z)^-)$. This follows from the fact that the even and the odd part of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded complex flat vector bundle $H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z) \to B$, whose grading is defined by the chirality operator, are given by

$$\begin{aligned}H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z)^+ &= H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z, F^+|_Z) \oplus H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z, F^-|_Z),\\ H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z)^- &= H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z, F^-|_Z) \oplus H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z, F^+|_Z),\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.4}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{rank}(H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+) - \operatorname{rank}(H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-)\\
&= \operatorname{rank}(H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z,F^+|_Z) + \operatorname{rank}(H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z,F^-|_Z)\\
&\quad - (\operatorname{rank}(H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z,F^-|_Z)) + \operatorname{rank}(H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z,F^+|_Z)))\\
&= \chi(Z)\operatorname{rank}(F^+) - \chi(Z)\operatorname{rank}(F^-) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.5}$$

Moreover, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+,u} &= \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z,F^+|_Z),u} \oplus \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z,F^-|_Z),u},\\
\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-,u} &= \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z,F^-|_Z),u} \oplus \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z,F^+|_Z),u},
\end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{ch}(\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+,u}) - \operatorname{ch}(\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-,u})\\
&= \operatorname{ch}(\nabla^{H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z,F^+|_Z),u}) + \operatorname{ch}(\nabla^{H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z,F^-|_Z),u}) - \operatorname{ch}(\nabla^{H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z,F^-|_Z),u})\\
&\quad - \operatorname{ch}(\nabla^{H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z,F^+|_Z),u})\\
&= \operatorname{rank}(H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+) - \operatorname{rank}(H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (3.1.5).

Theorem 1. Let $\pi : X \to B$ be a submersion with closed oriented spin^c fibers Z. For any $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded complex flat vector bundle $\mathbb{F} \to X$, where $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbb{F}^+) = \operatorname{rank}(\mathbb{F}^-)$, with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded flat connection $\nabla^{\mathbb{F}} = \nabla^+ \oplus \nabla^-$ we have

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathcal{S}^c(T^VX)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z) \tag{3.1.6}$$

as $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded generators, where $\mathcal{F}$ is given by (3.1.1).

Proof. Recall from (2.2.2) that

$$\mathcal{S}^c(T^VX)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{F} = (S^c(T^VX)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}, g^{S^c(T^VX)^*}\widehat{\otimes}g^{\mathbb{F}}, \nabla^{S^c(T^VX)^*}\widehat{\otimes}\nabla^{\mathbb{F},u}, 0).$$

Since

$$S^c(T^VX)\widehat{\otimes}S^c(T^VX)^* \cong \Lambda(T^VX)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

as $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded complex vector bundles, by suppressing the tensor product with $\mathbb{C}$ we have

$$S^c(T^VX)\widehat{\otimes}(S^c(T^VX)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}) \cong \Lambda(T^VX)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}. \tag{3.1.7}$$

By (2.4.3), (2.5.2) and (3.1.7) we have $\pi_*^{\Lambda}\mathbb{F} \cong \pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c}(S^c(T^VX)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F})$, and therefore

$$\Gamma(B,\pi_*^{\Lambda}\mathbb{F}) \cong \Gamma(B,\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c}(S^c(T^VX)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F})).$$

Moreover, under the isomorphism (3.1.7) the Clifford multiplication on the Clifford module $S^c(T^VX) \to X$ corresponds to the Clifford multiplication on the Clifford module on $\Lambda(T^VX)^* \to X$ [62, Proposition 3.9], and the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded unitary connection $\nabla^{\Lambda(T^VX)^*}$ corresponds to $\nabla^{S^c(T^VX)}\widehat{\otimes}\nabla^{S^c(T^VX)^*}$. It then follows from (2.4.2) and (2.5.4) that

$$\mathsf{D}^{\Lambda\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}} = \mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}}.$$

Since $\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}} = \mathsf{D}^{\Lambda\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}} + V$ by (2.4.8) and (2.4.9), it follows from 1 of Remark 2 and (2.4.7) that

$$H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z) \cong \ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}}) \cong \ker(\mathsf{D}^{\Lambda\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}} + V) \cong \ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}} + V). \quad (3.1.8)$$

As in section 2.1 we may assume

$$g^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}}+V)} = g^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)}. \quad (3.1.9)$$

By (2.4.5) and (2.5.3) we have $\nabla^{\pi_*^\Lambda\mathbb{F},u} = \nabla^{\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c}(S^c(T^VX)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}),u}$. By [13, p.34] we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}}+V)} &= P^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}}+V)}\nabla^{\pi_*^{\mathrm{spin}^c}(S^c(T^VX)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}),u}\\ &= P^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}})}\nabla^{\pi_*^\Lambda\mathbb{F},u} = \nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z),u}\end{aligned} \quad (3.1.10)$$

under the isomorphism (3.1.8). Then the Bismut superconnection $\mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{dR}}$ given by (2.4.10) and the "perturbed" Bismut superconnection $\mathbb{B}^{(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F},V}$ given by (2.5.5), where $\mathbb{B}^{(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F},V}_{[0]} := \mathsf{D}^{S^c\widehat{\otimes}(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}} + V$, are equal. Denote by $\widetilde{\eta}^{(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F},V}$ the Bismut–Cheeger eta form associated to $\mathbb{B}^{(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F},V}$. Then

$$\widetilde{\eta}^{(S^c)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F},V} = \widetilde{\eta}^{\mathrm{dR}} = 0, \quad (3.1.11)$$

where the last equality follows from (2.4.12). It follows from (3.1.8)–(3.1.11) that (3.1.6) holds. □

Let $F \to X$ be a complex flat vector bundle with a flat connection ∇^F. By taking $\mathbb{F}^+ = F$, $\nabla^+ = \nabla^F$, $\mathbb{F}^- = \mathbb{C}^\ell$, where $\ell = \mathrm{rank}(F)$, and ∇^- to be a trivial connection on $\mathbb{F}^- \to X$ in Theorem 1 one recovers the analytic counterpart of [68, Theorem 1.2].

Let $\pi : X \to B$ be a submersion with closed oriented spin^c fibers Z. Given a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded complex flat vector bundle $(\mathbb{F}, \nabla^{\mathbb{F}})$ with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded flat connection, where $\mathrm{rank}(\mathbb{F}^+) = \mathrm{rank}(\mathbb{F}^-)$, Bunke–Ma define a flat analytic index $\mathrm{ind}^{\mathrm{sign}}_{\mathrm{BM}} : K^{-1}_{\mathrm{L}}(X) \to K^{-1}_{\mathrm{L}}(B)$ for the twisted de Rham operator [32, Definition 3.2] by

$$\mathrm{ind}^{\mathrm{sign}}_{\mathrm{BM}}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{ind}^{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathcal{S}^c(T^VX)^*\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{F}),$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is given by (3.1.1). Theorem 1 states that

$$\mathrm{ind}^{\mathrm{sign}}_{\mathrm{BM}}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z). \quad (3.1.12)$$

Furthermore, by applying $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}}$ to (3.1.12) and noting that it is an K^0-module homomorphism, Theorem 1 and the flat GRR [57] imply the RRG theorem under the assumption that the fibers are oriented and spin^c. In section 3.3 we prove the RRG theorem by removing these assumptions and avoiding adiabatic limit computation.

3.2. **Some properties of Cheeger–Chern–Simons class.** In this subsection we prove some basic properties of the Cheeger–Chern–Simons class that will be useful in section 3.3.

Lemma 1. Let (E, v, ∇^E) be a flat $\mathbb{Z}$-graded cochain complex of the form (2.3.7). If $\operatorname{rank}(E^+) = \operatorname{rank}(E^-)$, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \operatorname{CCS}(E^k, \nabla^k) = \operatorname{CCS}(E, \nabla^E), \tag{3.2.1}$$

where the left-hand side of (3.2.1) is the Cheeger–Chern–Simons class of (E, ∇^E) with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}$ grading given by (2.3.9) and the right-hand side of (3.2.1) is the Cheeger–Chern–Simons class of (E, ∇^E) with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ grading given by (2.3.10).

Proof. Consider $E \to X$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded complex flat vector bundle with a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded flat connection ∇^E. Since $(\nabla^k)^2 = 0$ for each $0 \leq k \leq m$, there exists $\ell_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\ell_k E^k \cong \ell_k \mathbb{C}^{r_k}$, where $r_k = \operatorname{rank}(E^k)$. By (2.3.1) the differential form representative of $\operatorname{CCS}(E^k, \nabla^k)$ is, up to elements in $\Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$, given by

$$\frac{1}{\ell_k} \operatorname{CS}(\ell_k \nabla^k, \nabla_0^{\ell_k E^k}),$$

where $\nabla_0^{\ell_k E^k}$ is a trivial connection on $\ell_k E^k \to X$. Let ℓ be the least common multiple of $\ell_0, \ldots, \ell_m$. Then there exist unique $d_0, \ldots, d_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\ell = d_k \ell_k$ for each $0 \leq k \leq m$. Since

$$\ell E^k = d_k \ell_k E^k \cong d_k \ell_k \mathbb{C}^{r_k} = \ell \mathbb{C}^{r_k},$$

it follows from (2.1.8) and (2.1.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\ell_k} \operatorname{CS}(\ell_k \nabla^k, \nabla_0^{\ell_k E^k}) &= \frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(d_k \ell_k \nabla^k, d_k \nabla^{\ell_k \nabla^{E_k}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(\ell \nabla^k, \nabla_0^{\ell E^k}) + \frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(\nabla_0^{\ell E^k}, d_k \nabla_0^{\ell_k E^k}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.2}$$

where $\ell \nabla_0^{E_k}$ is a trivial connection on $\ell E^k \to X$. By Remark 1 we have

$$\operatorname{CS}(\nabla_0^{\ell E^k}, d_k \nabla_0^{\ell_k E^k}) = \operatorname{ch}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g_k) \in \Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \tag{3.2.3}$$

for some map $g_k : X \to \mathrm{U}(\ell \operatorname{rank}(E^k))$. By (3.2.2) and (3.2.3), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^k}{\ell_k} \operatorname{CS}(\ell_k \nabla^k, \nabla_0^{\ell_k E^k}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^k}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(\ell \nabla^k, \nabla_0^{\ell E^k}) + \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^k}{\ell} \operatorname{ch}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g_k). \tag{3.2.4}$$

Recall that the connections $\nabla^{\pm}$ on $E^{\pm} \to X$ are defined by (2.3.8). Note that

$$\nabla_0^{\ell E^+} := \bigoplus_{k=0} \nabla_0^{\ell E^{2k}}, \qquad \nabla_0^{\ell E^-} := \bigoplus_{k=0} \nabla_0^{\ell E^{2k+1}}$$

are trivial connections on $\ell E^{\pm} \to X$ respectively. By (2.1.8) the first term of the right-hand side of (3.2.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^k}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(\ell\nabla^k, \nabla_0^{\ell E^k}) \\
&= \sum_{k=0} \frac{(-1)^{2k}}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(\ell\nabla^{2k}, \nabla_0^{\ell E^{2k}}) + \sum_{k=0} \frac{(-1)^{2k+1}}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(\ell\nabla^{2k+1}, \nabla_0^{\ell E^{2k+1}}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(\ell\nabla^+, \nabla_0^{\ell E^+}) - \frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(\ell\nabla^-, \nabla_0^{\ell E^-}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.5}$$

Since $\operatorname{rank}(E^+) = \operatorname{rank}(E^-)$, it follows that $\ell E^+ \cong \ell\mathbb{C}^{\operatorname{rank}(E^+)} \cong \ell\mathbb{C}^{\operatorname{rank}(E^-)} \cong \ell E^-$. Choose an isomorphism $j : \ell E^+ \to \ell E^-$. By (2.1.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(\ell\nabla^+, \nabla_0^{\ell E^+}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(\ell\nabla^+, j^*\ell\nabla^-) + \frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(j^*\ell\nabla^-, \nabla_0^{\ell E^+}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(\ell\nabla^+, j^*\ell\nabla^-) + \frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(j^*\ell\nabla^-, j^*\nabla_0^{\ell E^-}) + \frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(j^*\nabla_0^{\ell E^-}, \nabla_0^{\ell E^+}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.6}$$

Since $\nabla_0^{\ell E^+}$ and $j^*\nabla_0^{\ell E^-}$ are trivial connections on $\ell E^+ \to X$, it follows from Remark 1 that $\operatorname{CS}(j^*\nabla_0^{\ell E^-}, \nabla_0^{\ell E^+}) = \operatorname{ch}^{\mathrm{odd}}(h)$ for some map $h : X \to \mathrm{U}(\ell \operatorname{rank}(E^+))$. Thus (3.2.6) becomes

$$\frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(\ell\nabla^+, \nabla_0^{\ell E^+}) - \frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(\ell\nabla^-, \nabla_0^{\ell E^-}) = \frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(\ell\nabla^+, j^*\ell\nabla^-) + \frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{ch}^{\mathrm{odd}}(h). \tag{3.2.7}$$

By (3.2.5) and (3.2.7), (3.2.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^k}{\ell_k} \operatorname{CS}(\ell_k\nabla^k, \nabla_0^{\ell_k E^k}) = \frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{CS}(\ell\nabla^+, j^*\ell\nabla^-) \\
+ \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^k}{\ell} \operatorname{ch}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g_k) + \frac{1}{\ell} \operatorname{ch}^{\mathrm{odd}}(h).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.8}$$

Since the mod $\mathbb{Q}$ reduction of the de Rham class of the left-hand side of (3.2.8) is the left-hand side of (3.2.1), and the same is true for the right-hand side of (3.2.8) and (3.2.1), it follows that (3.2.1) holds. □

Lemma 2. Let $F^{\pm} \to X$ be a complex flat vector bundle with a flat connection $\nabla^{\pm}$. Define $F := F^+ \oplus F^-$ and $\nabla^F := \nabla^+ \oplus \nabla^-$. If $F \to X$ and ∇^F are ungraded direct sums, then

$$\operatorname{CCS}(F, \nabla^F) = \operatorname{CCS}(F^+, \nabla^+) + \operatorname{CCS}(F^-, \nabla^-). \tag{3.2.9}$$

If $\operatorname{rank}(F^+) = \operatorname{rank}(F^-)$ and $F \to X$, ∇^F are $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded direct sums, then

$$\operatorname{CCS}(F, \nabla^F) = \operatorname{CCS}(F^+, \nabla^+) - \operatorname{CCS}(F^-, \nabla^-). \tag{3.2.10}$$

Proof. Write $\ell_\pm = \operatorname{rank}(F^\pm)$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $k(F^+ \oplus F^-) \cong k\mathbb{C}^{\ell_+ + \ell_-}$. Then

$$\operatorname{CCS}(F, \nabla^F) = \left[\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{CS}(k\nabla^F, \nabla_0^{kF})\right] \mod \mathbb{Q}, \tag{3.2.11}$$

where ∇_0^{kF} is a trivial connection on $kF \to X$. On the other hand, the flatness of $(F^\pm, \nabla^\pm)$ implies the existence of $k_\pm \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_\pm F^\pm \cong k_\pm \mathbb{C}^{\ell_\pm}$. Note that

$$\operatorname{CCS}(F^\pm, \nabla^\pm) = \left[\frac{1}{k_\pm} \operatorname{CS}(k_\pm\nabla^\pm, \nabla_0^{k_\pm F^\pm})\right] \mod \mathbb{Q}, \tag{3.2.12}$$

where $\nabla_0^{k_\pm F^\pm}$ is a trivial connection on $k_\pm F^\pm \to X$. Let $\widetilde{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ be the least common multiple of k_+, k_-, k. Then there exists $m, m_+, m_- \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\widetilde{k} = mk$ and $\widetilde{k} = m_\pm k_\pm$. By (2.1.7) and (2.1.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{CS}(k\nabla^F, \nabla_0^{kF}) = \frac{1}{\widetilde{k}} \operatorname{CS}(\widetilde{k}(\nabla^+ \oplus \nabla^-), m\nabla_0^{kF}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\widetilde{k}} \operatorname{CS}(m_+k_+\nabla^+ \oplus m_-k_-\nabla^-, m\nabla_0^{kF}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\widetilde{k}} \operatorname{CS}(m_+k_+\nabla^+ \oplus m_-k_-\nabla^-, m_+\nabla_0^{k_+F^+} \oplus m_-\nabla_0^{k_-F^-}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\widetilde{k}} \operatorname{CS}(m_+\nabla_0^{k_+F^+} \oplus m_-\nabla_0^{k_-F^-}, m\nabla_0^{kF}), \\
&= \frac{1}{\widetilde{k}} \operatorname{CS}(m_+k_+\nabla^+, m_+\nabla_0^{k_+F^+}) + \frac{1}{\widetilde{k}} \operatorname{CS}(m_-k_-\nabla^-, m_-\nabla_0^{k_-F^-}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\widetilde{k}} \operatorname{CS}(m_+\nabla_0^{k_+F^+} \oplus m_-\nabla_0^{k_-F^-}, m\nabla_0^{kF}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.13}$$

Since $m_+\nabla_0^{k_+F^+} \oplus m_-\nabla_0^{k_-F^-}$ and $m\nabla_0^{kF}$ are trivial connections on $\widetilde{k}F \to X$, it follows from Remark 1 that

$$\operatorname{CS}(m_+\nabla_0^{k_+F^+} \oplus m_-\nabla_0^{k_-F^-}, m\nabla_0^{kF}) = \operatorname{ch}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g) \tag{3.2.14}$$

for some map $g : X \to \mathrm{U}(\widetilde{k}\ell)$. On the other hand, by (2.1.8) again we have

$$\frac{1}{\widetilde{k}} \operatorname{CS}(m_\pm k_\pm\nabla^\pm, m_\pm\nabla_0^{k_\pm F^\pm}) = \frac{1}{k_\pm} \operatorname{CS}(k_\pm\nabla^\pm, \nabla_0^{k_\pm F^\pm}). \tag{3.2.15}$$

It follows from (3.2.14) and (3.2.15) that (3.2.13) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{CS}(k\nabla^F, \nabla_0^{kF}) = \frac{1}{k_+} \operatorname{CS}(k_+\nabla^+, \nabla_0^{k_+F^+}) + \frac{1}{k_-} \operatorname{CS}(k_-\nabla^-, \nabla_0^{k_-F^-}) \\
+ \operatorname{ch}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.16}$$

By taking the mod $\mathbb{Q}$ reduction of the de Rham class of (3.2.16), we see from (3.2.11) and (3.2.12) that (3.2.9) holds.

To prove (3.2.10), write $\ell = \ell_+ = \ell_-$. Since $\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^F) = \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^+) - \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^-) = \ell_+ - \ell_- = 0$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $kF^+ \cong kF^-$. On the other hand, the flatness of $(F^\pm, \nabla^\pm)$ implies the existence of $k_\pm \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_\pm F^\pm \cong k_\pm \mathbb{C}^\ell$. Let $\widetilde{k}$ be the least common multiple of k, k_+ and k_-. Then there exist unique $m, m_\pm \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\widetilde{k} = mk$ and $\widetilde{k} = m_\pm k_\pm$. Note that

$$\widetilde{k}F^+ \cong \widetilde{k}\mathbb{C}^\ell \cong \widetilde{k}F^-.$$

Let $j : kF^+ \to kF^-$ be an isomorphism. By (2.1.6) and (2.1.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{k}\,\mathrm{CS}(k\nabla^+, j^*k\nabla^-) &= \frac{1}{\widetilde{k}}\,\mathrm{CS}(mk\nabla^+, m(j^*k\nabla^-)) \\
&= \frac{1}{\widetilde{k}}\,\mathrm{CS}(\widetilde{k}\nabla^+, (mj)^*\widetilde{k}\nabla^-) \\
&= \frac{1}{\widetilde{k}}\,\mathrm{CS}(\widetilde{k}\nabla^+, \nabla_0^{\widetilde{k}F^+}) + \frac{1}{\widetilde{k}}\,\mathrm{CS}(\nabla_0^{\widetilde{k}F^+}, (mj)^*\widetilde{k}\nabla^-) \\
\Rightarrow \frac{1}{k}\,\mathrm{CS}(k\nabla^+, j^*k\nabla^-) &= \frac{1}{\widetilde{k}}\,\mathrm{CS}(\widetilde{k}\nabla^+, \nabla_0^{\widetilde{k}F^+}) - \frac{1}{\widetilde{k}}\,\mathrm{CS}(\widetilde{k}\nabla^-, ((mj)^{-1})^*\nabla_0^{\widetilde{k}F^+}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\nabla_0^{\widetilde{k}F^+}$ is a trivial connection on $\widetilde{k}F^+ \to X$. By taking the mod $\mathbb{Q}$ reduction of the de Rham class of the last equality we see that (3.2.10) holds. □

Note that (3.2.10) is not a consequence of Lemma 1 since the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded objects (F, ∇^F) do not come from a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded flat cochain complex.

3.3. **The real part of the Riemann–Roch–Grothendieck theorem.** In this subsection we prove the real part of the RRG theorem.

First of all we recall the setup of the local FIT for spin Dirac operator twisted by $S(T^V\widetilde{X})^* \otimes \mathscr{F} \to \widetilde{X}$.

Let $\widetilde{\pi} : \widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{B}$ be the corresponding submersion with closed fibers $\mathcal{Z}$, where $\widetilde{\pi} := \pi \times \mathrm{id}_I$. Let $\mathscr{F} \to \widetilde{X}$ be a complex vector bundle with a Hermitian metric $g^{\mathscr{F}}$. Let $\nabla^{\mathscr{F}}$ be a connection on $\mathscr{F} \to \widetilde{X}$ that is not assumed to be compatible with $g^{\mathscr{F}}$. For a fixed $k \in \{0, 1\}$, define a complex vector bundle $F_k \to X$ by $F_k := i_{X,k}^*\mathscr{F}$ and a connection $\nabla^{F_k} := i_{X,k}^*\nabla^{\mathscr{F}}$. Since $F_0 \cong F_1$ by (2.1.2), write $F = F_0 \cong F_1$. Define $g^{F_k} = i_{X,k}^* g^{\mathscr{F}}$. As in section 2.1 we may assume that $g^{F_0} = g^{F_1}$ and denote it by g^F. Note that ∇^{F_k} is not compatible with g^{F_k} in general.

Define $\omega(\mathscr{F}, g^{\mathscr{F}}) \in \Omega^1(\widetilde{X}, \mathrm{End}(\mathscr{F}))$ by (2.3.2). The connection $\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u}$ defined by (2.3.3) is compatible with $g^{\mathscr{F}}$. Note, however, that $\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u}$ does not satisfy (2.3.3) since $\nabla^{\mathscr{F}}$ is not flat. Thus $\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u}$ does not satisfy (2.3.4) neither. The connection $\nabla_k^{F,u}$ on $F_k \to X$ defined by

$$\nabla_k^{F,u} := i_k^*\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u} = \nabla^{F_k} + \frac{1}{2}\omega(F_k, g^{F_k})$$

is unitary with respect to g^{F_k}.

Equip $\widetilde{\pi}:\widetilde{X}\to\widetilde{B}$ the geometric data as in the second paragraph of section 2.4. Temporarily assume that Z is oriented and spin. This implies the same assumption holds for $\mathcal{Z}$. Choose and fix a spin structure for $T^V\widetilde{X}\to\widetilde{X}$. The twisted spin Dirac operator $\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}:\Gamma(X,S(T^V\widetilde{X})\widehat{\otimes}S(T^V\widetilde{X})^*\otimes\mathscr{F})\to\Gamma(X,S(T^V\widetilde{X})\widehat{\otimes}S(T^V\widetilde{X})^*\otimes\mathscr{F})$ is given by

$$\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}c(\widetilde{e}_k)\nabla^{S(T^V\widetilde{X})\widehat{\otimes}S(T^V\widetilde{X})^*\otimes\mathscr{F},u}_{\widetilde{e}_k},$$

where $\nabla^{S(T^V\widetilde{X})\widehat{\otimes}S(T^V\widetilde{X})^*\otimes\mathscr{F},u}:=\nabla^{S(T^V\widetilde{X})}\widehat{\otimes}\nabla^{S(T^V\widetilde{X})^*}\otimes\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u}$ and $\{\widetilde{e}_1,\ldots,\widetilde{e}_n\}$ is the local orthonormal frame for $T^V\widetilde{X}\to\widetilde{X}$. Define

$$\widetilde{V}=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\widehat{c}(\widetilde{e}_k)\omega(\mathscr{F},g^{\mathscr{F}})(\widetilde{e}_k)$$

and consider the perturbed Dirac operator $\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V}$. Assume that the family of complex vector spaces $\ker((\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V})_{\widetilde{b}})$ [9, p.286] has locally constant dimension for $\widetilde{b}\in\widetilde{B}$. Denote the resulting finite rank $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded complex vector bundle so obtained by

$$\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V})\to\widetilde{B}. \tag{3.3.1}$$

Denote by $P^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V})}:\widetilde{\pi}_*^{\mathrm{spin}}(S(T^V\widetilde{X})^*\otimes\mathscr{F})\to\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V})$ the orthogonal projection. The kernel bundle (3.3.1) admits a Hermitian metric $g^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V})}$ and a unitary connection

$$\nabla^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V})}:=P^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V})}\nabla^{\widetilde{\pi}_*^{\mathrm{spin}}(S(T^V\widetilde{X})^*\otimes\mathscr{F}),u}.$$

The perturbed Bismut superconnection $\mathbb{B}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}}$ on $\widetilde{\pi}_*^{\mathrm{spin}}(S(T^V\widetilde{X})^*\otimes\mathscr{F})\to\widetilde{B}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{B}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}}=(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V})+\nabla^{\widetilde{\pi}_*^{\mathrm{spin}}(S(T^V\widetilde{X})^*\otimes\mathscr{F}),u}-\frac{c(T)}{4}. \tag{3.3.2}$$

Denote by $\widetilde{\eta}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}}$ the Bismut–Cheeger eta form associated to $\mathbb{B}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}}$. By (2) of Remark 2, the local FIT for the perturbed spin Dirac operator $\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V}$ twisted by $S(T^VX)^*\otimes\mathscr{F}\to\widetilde{X}$ holds, and is given by

$$d\widetilde{\eta}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}}=\int_{\widetilde{X}/\widetilde{B}}\widehat{A}(\nabla^{T^V\widetilde{X}})\wedge\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{S(T^V\widetilde{X})^*}\otimes\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u})-\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V})}). \tag{3.3.3}$$

By [1, (8.30)] (see also [13, (3.46)] and [62, Proposition 11.24 (p.328)]) we have

$$\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{S(T^VX)^*})=\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{S(T^VX)^*,+})-\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{S(T^VX)^*,-})=\frac{e(\nabla^{T^VX})}{\widehat{A}(\nabla^{T^VX})}. \tag{3.3.4}$$

It follows from (3.3.4) that

$$\widehat{A}(\nabla^{T^V\widetilde{X}})\wedge \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{S(T^V\widetilde{X})^*}\otimes\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u}) = \widehat{A}(\nabla^{T^V\widetilde{X}})\wedge\frac{e(\nabla^{T^V\widetilde{X}})}{\widehat{A}(\nabla^{T^V\widetilde{X}})}\wedge\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u}).$$

Thus (3.3.3) becomes

$$d\widetilde{\eta}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}} = \int_{\widetilde{X}/\widetilde{B}} e(\nabla^{T^V\widetilde{X}})\wedge\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u}) - \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V})}). \quad (3.3.5)$$

By the same reason in Remark 3, (3.3.5) holds without the assumption that Z (and therefore $\mathcal{Z}$) is oriented and spin.

Theorem 2. Let $\pi : X \to B$ be a submersion with closed fibers Z. For any $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded complex flat vector bundle $\mathbb{F}\to X$, where $\mathbb{F} = F^+\oplus F^-$ with $\mathrm{rank}(F^+) = \mathrm{rank}(F^-)$, with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded flat connection $\nabla^{\mathbb{F}} = \nabla^+\oplus\nabla^-$ we have

$$\mathrm{CS}(m\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+,u},\widetilde{j}^*m\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-,u}) = \int_{X/B} e(\nabla^{T^VX})\wedge\mathrm{CS}(m\nabla^{+,u},j^*m\nabla^{-,u}) \quad (3.3.6)$$

up to elements in $\Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(B)$, where $m\in\mathbb{N}$, $j : mF^+\to mF^-$ is an isometric isomorphism and $\widetilde{j} : mH(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+\to mH(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-$ is the isometric isomorphism induced by j.

Before we prove Theorem 2 we first prove that (3.3.6) is the refinement of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded version of the real part of the RRG theorem at the differential form level.

Corollary 1. Let $\pi : X\to B$ be a submersion with closed fibers Z. Let $\mathbb{F}\to X$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded complex flat vector bundle, where $\mathbb{F} = F^+\oplus F^-$ with $\mathrm{rank}(F^+) = \mathrm{rank}(F^-)$, with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded flat connection $\nabla^{\mathbb{F}} = \nabla^+\oplus\nabla^-$. If (3.3.6) is valid, then

$$\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z),\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)})) = \int_{X/B} e(T^VX)\cup\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(\mathbb{F},\nabla^{\mathbb{F}})) \quad (3.3.7)$$

in $H^{\mathrm{odd}}(B;\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. Put a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Hermitian metric $g^{\mathbb{F}} = g^+\oplus g^-$ on $\mathbb{F}\to X$. Define $\omega(\mathbb{F},g^{\mathbb{F}})$ by (2.3.2) and define the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded unitary connection $\nabla^{\mathbb{F},u}$ on $\mathbb{F}\to X$ as in section 3.1. These induce the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Hermitian metric $g^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)} = g^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+}\oplus g^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-}$ on the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Hermitian bundle $H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)\to B$ with the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded unitary connection $\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z),u} = \nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+,u}\oplus\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-,u}$.

By (2.3.5) and (3.1.5) we have

$$\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{+,u})-\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{-,u}) = \mathrm{rank}(F^+)-\mathrm{rank}(F^-) = 0,$$
$$\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+,u})-\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-,u}) = \mathrm{rank}(H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+)-\mathrm{rank}(H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-) = 0.$$

Thus there exist $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $kF^+ \cong kF^-$ and $\ell H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z)^+ \cong \ell H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z)^-$ respectively. By (2.3.11), to prove (3.3.7) it suffices to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\ell}\,\mathrm{CS}(\ell\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+,u}, j_1^*\ell\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-,u}) \\ &\qquad = \int_{X/B} e(\nabla^{T^VX}) \wedge \left(\frac{1}{k}\,\mathrm{CS}(k\nabla^{+,u}, j^*k\nabla^{-,u})\right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.8}$$

up to elements in $\Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(B)$, where $j : kF^+ \to kF^-$ and $j_1 : \ell H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z)^+ \to \ell H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z)^-$ are isometric isomorphisms respectively.

If $k = \ell$ then take $m = k$. Then (3.3.8) reduces to (3.3.6). If $k \neq \ell$ let m be the least common multiple of k and ℓ. Then there exist unique $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_1k = m = d_2\ell$. Since

$$mH(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z)^+ = d_2\ell H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z)^+ \cong d_2\ell H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z)^- = mH(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z)^-,$$

it follows from (2.1.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\ell}\,\mathrm{CS}(\ell\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+,u}, j_1^*\ell\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-,u}) \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{m}\,\mathrm{CS}(m\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+,u}, d_2j_1^*m\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-,u}), \end{aligned}$$

where $d_2j_1 = \overbrace{j_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus j_1}^{d_2}$ is an isometric isomorphism. The same argument applies to $\mathrm{CS}(k\nabla^{+,u}, j^*k\nabla^{-,u})$. Thus (3.3.8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{CS}(m\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+,u}, d_2j_1^*m\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-,u}) \\ &\qquad = \int_{X/B} e(\nabla^{T^VX}) \wedge \mathrm{CS}(m\nabla^{+,u}, d_1j^*m\nabla^{-,u}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.9}$$

By the argument in [64, p.289] we see that the left-hand side of (3.3.9) is independent of the choices of m and d_2j_1 after taking the mod $\mathbb{Q}$ reduction of its de Rham class. In particular we may assume d_2j_1 is induced by d_1j (or replace d_2j_1 by an isometric isomorphism induced by d_1j). This implies (3.3.9) is equal to (3.3.6). This implies (3.3.7) holds. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Given $(\mathbb{F}, \nabla^{\mathbb{F}})$, put a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Hermitian metric $g^{\mathbb{F}} = g^+ \oplus g^-$ on $\mathbb{F} \to X$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j : mF^+ \to mF^-$ be as in the proof of Corollary 1. Write $\mathsf{F} = mF^+ \cong mF^-$. As in section 2.1 we may assume that $mg^+ = mg^-$, and write $g^{\mathsf{F}} = mg^+$. Let ∇^{F}_t be a smooth curve of connections on $\mathsf{F} \to X$ such that $\nabla^{\mathsf{F}}_1 = m\nabla^+$ and $\nabla^{\mathsf{F}}_0 = j^*m\nabla^-$. Consider

the pullback of $\mathsf{F} \to X$ by p_X:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{F} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{X} & \xrightarrow[p_X]{} & X \end{array}$$

where $\mathscr{F} := p_X^*\mathsf{F}$. Note that $\mathscr{F} \to \widetilde{X}$ is a complex flat vector bundle with the Hermitian metric $g^{\mathscr{F}} := p_X^* g^{\mathsf{F}}$ and the flat connections $p_X^* m\nabla^+$ and $p_X^* j^* m\nabla^-$ (which are not compatible with $g^{\mathscr{F}}$ in general). Define a connection $\nabla^{\mathscr{F}}$ on $\mathscr{F} \to \widetilde{X}$ by

$$\nabla^{\mathscr{F}} = \nabla_t^{\mathsf{F}} + dt \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

Note that $\nabla^{\mathscr{F}}$ is not flat. The connection $\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u}$ on $\mathscr{F} \to \widetilde{X}$ defined by

$$\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u} = \nabla^{\mathscr{F}} + \frac{1}{2}\omega(\mathscr{F}, g^{\mathscr{F}})$$

is unitary with respect to $g^{\mathscr{F}}$. Note that

$$i_{X,1}^* \nabla^{\mathscr{F},u} = \nabla_1^{\mathsf{F}} + \frac{1}{2}\omega(\mathsf{F}, g^{\mathsf{F}}) = k\left(\nabla^+ + \frac{1}{2}\omega(F^+, g^+)\right) = m\nabla^{+,u},$$

and similarly $i_{X,0}^* \nabla^{\mathscr{F},u} = j^* m\nabla^{-,u}$.

Temporarily assume that the fibers Z are oriented and spin. Then the fibers $\mathcal{Z}$ are also oriented and spin. Choose and fix a spin structure on $T^V\widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}$. Assume that the family of complex vector spaces $\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}} + \widetilde{V})_{\widetilde{b}}$ has locally constant dimension for $\widetilde{b} \in \widetilde{B}$ so that the kernel bundle

$$\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}} + \widetilde{V}) \to \widetilde{B}$$

exists. By (3.3.5) we have

$$d\widetilde{\eta}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}} = \int_{\widetilde{X}/\widetilde{B}} e(\nabla^{T^V\widetilde{X}}) \wedge \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u}) - \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}} + \widetilde{V})}). \quad (3.3.10)$$

By the same argument as in (2.1.2) we have

$$i_{B,0}^* \ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}} + \widetilde{V}) \cong i_{B,1}^* \ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}} + \widetilde{V}) \quad (3.3.11)$$

as vector bundles over B. Note that for $k \in \{0,1\}$ we have

$$\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathsf{F}} + V) \cong i_{B,k}^* \ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}} + \widetilde{V}), \quad (3.3.12)$$

where the S on the left-hand side of (3.3.12) means $S(T^V X) \to X$, while the S on the right-hand side of (3.3.12) means $S(T^V\widetilde{X}) \to \widetilde{X}$. Write $\nabla_k^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathsf{F}+V})} = i_{B,k}^* \nabla^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}+\widetilde{V}})}$ for $k \in \{0,1\}$. Denote by $i : \partial\widetilde{B} \to$

$\widetilde{B}$ the inclusion map. By (2.1.4), integrating (3.3.10) along the fibers gives

$$\begin{aligned} i_{B,1}^*\widetilde{\eta}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}} - i_{B,0}^*\widetilde{\eta}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}} &= \int_{\widetilde{B}/B} i^*\widetilde{\eta}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}} \\ &= \int_{\widetilde{B}/B} d_{\widetilde{B}}\widetilde{\eta}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}} - d_B\int_{\widetilde{B}/B}\widetilde{\eta}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}}. \end{aligned}$$

By modding out exact forms, it follows from (3.3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} & i_{B,1}^*\widetilde{\eta}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}} - i_{B,0}^*\widetilde{\eta}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}} = \int_{\widetilde{B}/B} d_{\widetilde{B}}\widetilde{\eta}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}} \\ &= \int_{\widetilde{B}/B}\left(\int_{\widetilde{X}/\widetilde{B}} e(\nabla^{T^V\widetilde{X}})\wedge \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u}) - \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V})})\right) \\ &= \int_{\widetilde{B}/B}\int_{\widetilde{X}/\widetilde{B}} p_X^* e(\nabla^{T^VX})\wedge \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u}) - \int_{\widetilde{B}/B}\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V})}) \\ &= \int_{\widetilde{X}/B} p_X^* e(\nabla^{T^VX})\wedge \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u}) - \mathrm{CS}(\nabla_1^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathsf{F}+V})}, \nabla_0^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathsf{F}+V})}) \\ &= \int_{\widetilde{X}/B}\int_{\widetilde{X}/X} p_X^* e(\nabla^{T^VX})\wedge \mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u}) - \mathrm{CS}(\nabla_1^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathsf{F}+V})}, \nabla_0^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathsf{F}+V})}) \\ &= \int_{X/B} e(\nabla^{T^VX})\wedge \int_{\widetilde{X}/X}\mathrm{ch}(\nabla^{\mathscr{F},u}) - \mathrm{CS}(\nabla_1^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathsf{F}+V})}, \nabla_0^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathsf{F}+V})}) \\ &= \int_{X/B} e(\nabla^{T^VX})\wedge \mathrm{CS}(\nabla_1^{\mathsf{F},u}, \nabla_0^{\mathsf{F},u}) - \mathrm{CS}(\nabla_1^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathsf{F}+V})}, \nabla_0^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathsf{F}+V})}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.13}$$

where the second and the third equalities follow from (2.1.10), the fourth equality follows from (2.1.9) and the last equality follows from (2.1.3). By the same reason in Remark 3, (3.3.13) holds without the assumption that Z is oriented and spin. Since $\mathsf{F} := mF^+ \cong mF^-$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H(Z,\mathsf{F}|_Z) &= H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z, mF^+|_Z)\oplus H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z, mF^+|_Z) \\ &\cong H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z, mF^+|_Z)\oplus H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z, mF^-|_Z) \\ &\cong m(H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z, F^+|_Z)\oplus H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z, F^-|_Z)) \\ &= mH(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+ \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.14}$$

as ungraded vector bundles. By a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 we have the following analog of (3.1.4):

$$H(Z,\mathsf{F}|_Z)\cong \ker(\mathsf{D}^{Z,\mathrm{dR}})\cong \ker(\mathsf{D}^{\Lambda\otimes\mathsf{F}}+V)\cong \ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathsf{F}}+V). \tag{3.3.15}$$

From the isomorphisms (3.3.11), (3.3.12), (3.3.14) and (3.3.15) we have

$$i_{B,0}^*\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V})\cong kH(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+\cong i_{B,1}^*\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V}). \tag{3.3.16}$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that the Hermitian metric $g^{mH(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+}$ on $mH(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+ \to B$ is the pullback of $g^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V})}$ under the isomorphism (3.3.16). Note that

$$\nabla_1^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathsf{F}+V})} := i_{B,1}^*\nabla^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V})} = \nabla^{mH(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+,u} = m\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+,u}$$

is induced by $\nabla_1^{\mathsf{F},u} = m\nabla^{+,u}$ and

$$\nabla_0^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathsf{F}+V})} := i_{B,0}^*\nabla^{\ker(\mathsf{D}^{S\widehat{\otimes}S^*\otimes\mathscr{F}}+\widetilde{V})} = \widetilde{j}^*\nabla^{mH(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-,u} = \widetilde{j}^*m\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-,u}$$

is induced by $\nabla_0^{\mathsf{F},u} = j^*m\nabla^{-,u}$. Thus (3.3.13) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{X/B} e(\nabla^{T^VX})\wedge \mathrm{CS}(k\nabla^{+,u}, j^*k\nabla^{-,u}) - \mathrm{CS}(k\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+,u}, \widetilde{j}^*k\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-,u})\\ &= i_{B,1}^*\widetilde{\eta}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}} - i_{B,0}^*\widetilde{\eta}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3.17}$$

For $k\in\{0,1\}$, we have $i_{B,k}^*\widetilde{\eta}^{S^*\otimes\mathscr{F},\widetilde{V}} = \widetilde{\eta}_k^{\mathrm{dR}} = 0$, where the latter equality follows from (2.4.12). Thus (3.3.17) becomes

$$\mathrm{CS}(m\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+,u}, \widetilde{j}^*m\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-,u}) = \int_{X/B} e(\nabla^{T^VX})\wedge\mathrm{CS}(m\nabla^{+,u}, j^*m\nabla^{-,u}),$$

which is equal to (3.3.6). □

The following lemma expresses the Cheeger–Chern–Simons class of the cohomology bundle $(H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z),\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)})$ whose $\mathbb{Z}_2$ grading is defined by chirality operator in terms of the ones of $(H(Z,F^\pm|_Z),\nabla^{H(Z,F^\pm|_Z)})$ whose $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gradings are given by even and odd degree cohomology groups.

Lemma 3. Under the setup of Theorem 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{CCS}(H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z),\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)}) = \mathrm{CCS}(H(Z,F^+|_Z),\nabla^{H(Z,F^+|_Z)})\\ - \mathrm{CCS}(H(Z,F^-|_Z),\nabla^{H(Z,F^-|_Z)}),\end{aligned} \tag{3.3.18}$$

where $H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)\to B$ and $H(Z,F^\pm|_Z)\to B$ are $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded complex flat vector bundles whose $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gradings are defined by the chirality operator and given by even and odd degree cohomology groups respectively.

Proof. For the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading defined by the chirality operator, the even and the odd part of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded flat connection $\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)}$ on $H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)\to B$ are decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^+} &= \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z,F^+|_Z)}\oplus\nabla^{H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z,F^-|_Z)},\\ \nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)^-} &= \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z,F^-|_Z)}\oplus\nabla^{H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z,F^+|_Z)}.\end{aligned}$$

For the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading given by even and odd degree cohomology groups, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded flat connection $\nabla^{H(Z,F^\pm|_Z)}$ on $H(Z,F^\pm|_Z)\to B$ is decomposed as

$$\nabla^{H(Z,F^\pm|_Z)} = \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z,F^\pm|_Z)}\oplus\nabla^{H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z,F^\pm|_Z)}.$$

There exist $\ell, k_\pm \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\ell H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z)^+ \cong \ell H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z)^-,$$
$$j_\pm : k_\pm H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z, F^\pm|_Z) \cong k_\pm H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z, F^\pm|_Z)$$

for some isomorphisms $j_\pm$. Let k be the least common multiple of ℓ, k_+ and k_-. Then there exist unique $d, d_\pm \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k = d\ell$ and $k = d_\pm k_\pm$. Note that $kH(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z)^+ \cong k(H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z)^-$. Since $d_\pm j_\pm : kH^{\mathrm{even}}(Z, F^\pm|_Z) \to kH^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z, F^\pm|_Z)$ is an isomorphism, the map $j = d_+ j_+ \oplus (d_- j_-)^{-1}$ is also an isomorphism from $k(H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z, F^+|_Z) \oplus H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z, F^-|_Z))$ onto $k(H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z, F^-|_Z) \oplus H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z, F^-|_Z))$. By (2.1.6) and (2.1.8), the right-hand side of (3.3.18) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{k_+} \mathrm{CS}(k_+ \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z,F^+|_Z)}, j_+^* k_+ \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z,F^+|_Z)}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{k_-} \mathrm{CS}(k_- \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z,F^-|_Z)}, j_-^* k_- \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z,F^-|_Z)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{k} \mathrm{CS}(k \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z,F^+|_Z)}, (d_+ j_+)^* k \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z,F^+|_Z)}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{k} \mathrm{CS}(k \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z,F^-|_Z)}, (d_- j_-)^* k \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z,F^-|_Z)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{k} \mathrm{CS}(k \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z,F^+|_Z)}, (d_+ j_+)^* k \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z,F^+|_Z)}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{k} \mathrm{CS}(k \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z,F^-|_Z)}, ((d_- j_-)^{-1})^* k \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z,F^-|_Z)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{k} \mathrm{CS}(k(\nabla^{H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z,F^+|_Z)} \oplus \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z,F^-|_Z)}), j^* k(\nabla^{H^{\mathrm{even}}(Z,F^-|_Z)} \oplus \nabla^{H^{\mathrm{odd}}(Z,F^+|_Z)})).
\end{aligned}$$

By taking the mod $\mathbb{Q}$ reduction of the de Rham class, we see that the right-hand side of (3.3.18) is equal to its left-hand side. □

Note that Lemma 3 is not a consequence of (3.2.10). We now deduce the real part of the RRG theorem.

Corollary 2. Let $\pi : X \to B$ be a submersion with closed fibers Z. If $F \to X$ is a complex flat vector bundle with a flat connection ∇^F, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{X/B} e(T^V X) \cup \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(F, \nabla^F)) \\
&\qquad = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(H^k(Z, F|_Z), \nabla^{H^k(Z,F|_Z)})).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3.19}$$

Proof. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded complex flat vector bundle $\mathbb{F} = F^+ \oplus F^-$, where $F^+ = F$ and $F^- = \mathbb{C}^\ell$ with $\ell = \mathrm{rank}(F)$, with the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded flat connection $\nabla^{\mathbb{F}} = \nabla^+ \oplus \nabla^-$, where $\nabla^+ = \nabla^F$ and $\nabla^- = d$. By (3.2.10) we have

$$\mathrm{CCS}(\mathbb{F}, \nabla^{\mathbb{F}}) = \mathrm{CCS}(F, \nabla^F) - \mathrm{CCS}(\mathbb{C}^\ell, d).$$

By (2.3.1) we have

$$\mathrm{CCS}(\mathbb{C}^\ell, d) = [\mathrm{CS}(d, \nabla_0^{\mathbb{C}^\ell})] \mod \mathbb{Q},$$

where k is taken to be 1. Since $\nabla_0^{\mathbb{C}^\ell}$ is a trivial connection on $\mathbb{C}^\ell \to X$, by Remark 1 we have $\mathrm{CS}(d, \nabla_0^{\mathbb{C}^\ell}) = \mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{odd}}(g)$ for some map $g : X \to \mathrm{U}(\ell)$. Thus $\mathrm{CCS}(\mathbb{C}^\ell, d) = 0 \in H^{\mathrm{odd}}(X; \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q})$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{CCS}(\mathbb{F}, \nabla^{\mathbb{F}}) &= \mathrm{CCS}(F, \nabla^F) \\ \Rightarrow \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(\mathbb{F}, \nabla^{\mathbb{F}})) &= \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(F, \nabla^F)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.20}$$

By applying Theorem 2 to $(\mathbb{F}, \nabla^{\mathbb{F}})$, it follows from (3.3.20) that

$$\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z), \nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)})) = \int_{X/B} e(T^V X) \cup \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(F, \nabla^F)). \tag{3.3.21}$$

For the left-hand side of (3.3.21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z), \nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)})) \\ &= \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(H(Z, F|_Z), \nabla^{H(Z,F|_Z)})) - \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(H(Z, \mathbb{C}^\ell|_Z), \nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{C}^\ell|_Z)})) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(H^k(Z, F|_Z), \nabla^{H^k(Z,F|_Z)})) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(H^k(Z, \mathbb{C}^\ell|_Z), \nabla^{H^k(Z,\mathbb{C}^\ell|_Z)})) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(H^k(Z, F|_Z), \nabla^{H^k(Z,F|_Z)})) \\ &\quad - \mathrm{rank}(F) \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(H^k(Z, \mathbb{C}|_Z), \nabla^{H^k(Z,\mathbb{C}|_Z)})), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.22}$$

where the first equality follows from the real part of Lemma 3, the second equality follows the real part of from Lemma 1 and the third equality follows from the real part of (3.2.9). As argued in [68, p.614], it follows from the second part of [13, Theorem 3.12] that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(H^k(Z, \mathbb{C}|_Z), \nabla^{H^k(Z,\mathbb{C}|_Z)})) = 0$$

in $H^{\mathrm{odd}}(B; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})$. Thus (3.3.22) becomes

$$\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(H(Z, \mathbb{F}|_Z), \nabla^{H(Z,\mathbb{F}|_Z)})) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CCS}(H^k(Z, F|_Z), \nabla^{H^k(Z,F|_Z)})). \tag{3.3.23}$$

It follows from (3.3.21) and (3.3.23) that (3.3.19) holds. □

References

1. M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, *A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes. II. Applications*, Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 451–491.
2. M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, and I. M. Singer, *Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. I*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **77** (1975), 43–69.
3. ———, *Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. III*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **79** (1976), no. 1, 71–99.
4. M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, *The index of elliptic operators. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **87** (1968), 484–530.
5. ———, *The index of elliptic operators. IV*, Ann. of Math. (2) **93** (1971), 119–138.
6. Sara Azzali, Sebastian Goette, and Thomas Schick, *Large time limit and local L^2-index theorems for families*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **9** (2015), no. 2, 621–664.
7. Bernard Badzioch, Wojciech Dorabiała, John R. Klein, and Bruce Williams, *Equivalence of higher torsion invariants*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), no. 3, 2192–2232.
8. James C. Becker and Daniel H. Gottlieb, *Transfer maps for fibrations and duality*, Compositio Math. **33** (1976), no. 2, 107–133.
9. Nicole Berline, Ezra Getzler, and Michèle Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, Grundlehren Text Editions, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, Corrected reprint of the 1992 original.
10. Alain Berthomieu, *Direct image for some secondary K-theories*, Astérisque (2009), no. 327, 289–360 (2010).
11. Jean-Michel Bismut, *The Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families of Dirac operators: two heat equation proofs*, Invent. Math. **83** (1986), no. 1, 91–151.
12. ———, *Local index theory, eta invariants and holomorphic torsion: a survey*, Surveys in differential geometry, Vol. III (Cambridge, MA, 1996), Int. Press, Boston, MA, 1998, pp. 1–76.
13. ———, *Eta invariants, differential characters and flat vector bundles*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **26** (2005), 15–44.
14. Jean-Michel Bismut and Jeff Cheeger, *η-invariants and their adiabatic limits*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989), 33–70.
15. ———, *Transgressed Euler classes of* $\mathrm{SL}(2n, \mathbf{Z})$ *vector bundles, adiabatic limits of eta invariants and special values of L-functions*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **25** (1992), no. 4, 335–391.
16. Jean-Michel Bismut and Daniel S. Freed, *The analysis of elliptic families. II. Dirac operators, eta invariants, and the holonomy theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys. **107** (1986), no. 1, 103–163.
17. Jean-Michel Bismut, Henri Gillet, and Christophe Soulé, *Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles. I. Bott-Chern forms and analytic torsion*, Comm. Math. Phys. **115** (1988), no. 1, 49–78.
18. Jean-Michel Bismut and Sebastian Goette, *Holomorphic equivariant analytic torsions*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **10** (2000), no. 6, 1289–1422.
19. ———, *Families torsion and Morse functions*, Astérisque (2001), no. 275, x+293.
20. ———, *Equivariant de Rham torsions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **159** (2004), no. 1, 53–216.
21. Jean-Michel Bismut and John Lott, *Flat vector bundles, direct images and higher real analytic torsion*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1995), no. 2, 291–363.
22. ———, *Torus bundles and the group cohomology of* $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbf{Z})$, J. Differential Geom. **47** (1997), no. 2, 196–236.
23. Jean-Michel Bismut and Weiping Zhang, *An extension of a theorem by Cheeger and Müller*, Astérisque (1992), no. 205, 235, With an appendix by François Laudenbach.
24. Spencer Bloch and Hélène Esnault, *Algebraic Chern-Simons theory*, Amer. J. Math. **119** (1997), no. 4, 903–952.

25. ———, *Lectures on algebro-geometric Chern-Weil and Cheeger-Chern-Simons theory for vector bundles*, The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998), NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 548, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000, pp. 3–28.
26. ———, *A Riemann-Roch theorem for flat bundles, with values in the algebraic Chern-Simons theory*, Ann. of Math. (2) **151** (2000), no. 3, 1025–1070.
27. Raoul Bott and Loring W. Tu, *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 82, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
28. Ulrich Bunke, *Equivariant higher analytic torsion and equivariant Euler characteristic*, Amer. J. Math. **122** (2000), no. 2, 377–401.
29. ———, *On the functoriality of Lott's secondary analytic index*, K-Theory **25** (2002), no. 1, 51–58.
30. ———, *Index theory, eta forms, and Deligne cohomology*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **198** (2009), no. 928, vi+120.
31. Ulrich Bunke and David Gepner, *Differential function spectra, the differential becker-gottlieb transfer, and applications to differential algebraic K-theory*, arXiv:1306.0247v2 (2016).
32. Ulrich Bunke and Xiaonan Ma, *Index and secondary index theory for flat bundles with duality*, Aspects of boundary problems in analysis and geometry, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 151, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004, pp. 265–341.
33. Ulrich Bunke and Georg Tamme, *Regulators and cycle maps in higher-dimensional differential algebraic K-theory*, Adv. Math. **285** (2015), 1853–1969.
34. Jeff Cheeger, *Analytic torsion and the heat equation*, Ann. of Math. (2) **109** (1979), no. 2, 259–322.
35. Jeff Cheeger and James Simons, *Differential characters and geometric invariants*, in Geometry and Topology (College Park, Md., 1983/84), Lecture Notes in Math. **1167** (1985), 50–80.
36. Xianzhe Dai, *Adiabatic limits, nonmultiplicativity of signature, and Leray spectral sequence*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 265–321.
37. Xianzhe Dai and Weiping Zhang, *Adiabatic limit, Bismut-Freed connection, and the real analytic torsion form*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **647** (2010), 87–113.
38. Albrecht Dold, *The fixed point transfer of fibre-preserving maps*, Math. Z. **148** (1976), no. 3, 215–244.
39. William Dwyer, Michael Weiss, and Bruce Williams, *A parametrized index theorem for the algebraic K-theory Euler class*, Acta Math. **190** (2003), no. 1, 1–104.
40. Hélène Esnault, *Characteristic classes of flat bundles*, Topology **27** (1988), no. 3, 323–352.
41. ———, *Characteristic classes of flat bundles. II*, K-Theory **6** (1992), no. 1, 45–56.
42. ———, *Recent developments on characteristic classes of flat bundles on complex algebraic manifolds*, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. **98** (1996), no. 4, 182–191.
43. ———, *A remark on an algebraic Riemann-Roch formula for flat bundles*, K-Theory **13** (1998), no. 1, 61–68.
44. ———, *Algebraic theory of characteristic classes of bundles with connection*, Algebraic K-theory (Seattle, WA, 1997), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 67, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 13–23.
45. ———, *Algebraic differential characters*, Regulators in analysis, geometry and number theory, Progr. Math., vol. 171, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000, pp. 89–115.
46. ———, *Characteristic classes of flat bundles and determinant of the Gauss-Manin connection*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Beijing, 2002), Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002, pp. 471–481.
47. ———, *Algebraic differential characters of flat connections with nilpotent residues*, Algebraic topology, Abel Symp., vol. 4, Springer, Berlin, 2009, pp. 83–94.

48. Hélène Esnault and Eckart Viehweg, *Chern classes of Gauss-Manin bundles of weight 1 vanish*, K-Theory **26** (2002), no. 3, 287–305.
49. Wolfgang Franz, *Über die Torsion einer Überdeckung*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **173** (1935), 245–254.
50. Daniel S. Freed and John Lott, *An index theorem in differential K-theory*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), 903–966.
51. Sebastian Goette, *Torsion invariants for families*, Astérisque (2009), no. 328, 161–206 (2010).
52. Sebastian Goette and Kiyoshi Igusa, *Exotic smooth structures on topological fiber bundles II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), no. 2, 791–832.
53. Sebastian Goette, Kiyoshi Igusa, and Bruce Williams, *Exotic smooth structures on topological fiber bundles I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), no. 2, 749–790.
54. Donggeng Gong, *Analytic torsion forms and torsion-signature*, Note Mat. **16** (1996), no. 2, 287–311 (1998).
55. Werner Greub, Stephen Halperin, and Ray Vanstone, *Connections, curvature, and cohomology. Vol. I: De Rham cohomology of manifolds and vector bundles*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1972, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 47.
56. James L. Heitsch and Connor Lazarov, *Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck and torsion for foliations*, J. Geom. Anal. **12** (2002), no. 3, 437–468.
57. Man-Ho Ho, *The flat Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem without adiabatic techniques*, J. Geom. Phys. **107** (2016), 162–174.
58. Kiyoshi Igusa, *Parametrized Morse theory and its applications*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990), Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1991, pp. 643–651.
59. ———, *Higher Franz-Reidemeister torsion*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 31, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Somerville, MA, 2002.
60. ———, *Axioms for higher torsion invariants of smooth bundles*, J. Topol. **1** (2008), no. 1, 159–186.
61. Max Karoubi, *K-theory*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008, An introduction, Reprint of the 1978 edition, With a new postface by the author and a list of errata.
62. H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. and Marie-Louise Michelsohn, *Spin geometry*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 38, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
63. Eric Leichtnam and Paolo Piazza, *Elliptic operators and higher signatures*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **54** (2004), no. 5, 1197–1277, xiii, xix.
64. John Lott, $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ *index theory*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **2** (1994), 279–311.
65. ———, *Secondary analytic indices*, Regulators in analysis, geometry and number theory, Progr. Math., vol. 171, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000, pp. 231–293.
66. Gheorghe Lusztig, *Novikov's higher signature and families of elliptic operators*, J. Differential Geometry **7** (1972), 229–256.
67. Xiaonan Ma, *Functoriality of real analytic torsion forms*, Israel J. Math. **131** (2002), 1–50.
68. Xiaonan Ma and Weiping Zhang, *Eta-invariants, torsion forms and flat vector bundles*, Math. Ann. **340** (2008), no. 3, 569–624.
69. Werner Müller, *Analytic torsion and R-torsion of Riemannian manifolds*, Adv. in Math. **28** (1978), no. 3, 233–305.
70. ———, *Analytic torsion and R-torsion for unimodular representations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1993), no. 3, 721–753.
71. D. B. Ray and I. M. Singer, *R-torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds*, Advances in Math. **7** (1971), 145–210.

72. ———, *Analytic torsion*, Partial differential equations (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXIII, Univ. California, Berkeley, Calif., 1971), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973, pp. 167–181.
73. Kurt Reidemeister, *Homotopieringe und Linsenräume*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **11** (1935), no. 1, 102–109.
74. James Simons and Dennis Sullivan, *Structured vector bundles define differential K-theory*, Quanta of maths, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 11, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, pp. 579–599.
75. John B. Wagoner, *Diffeomorphisms, K_2, and analytic torsion*, Algebraic and geometric topology (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1976), Part 1, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1978, pp. 23–33.
76. Weiping Zhang, *Sub-signature operators, η-invariants and a Riemann-Roch theorem for flat vector bundles*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **25** (2004), no. 1, 7–36.
77. Yeping Zhang, *A Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck theorem for flat fibrations with complex fibers*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **354** (2016), no. 4, 401–406.

Department of Mathematics, Hong Kong Baptist University
E-mail address: homanho@math.hkbu.edu.hk